



PO Box 3393,
Port Elizabeth, 6056
South Africa

8 Rabi-ul Awwal 1434 (10 January 2014)
Email: muftis@themajlis.co.za

***SANHA'S
CARRION CABAL'S
KUFFAAR ACTION
AGAINST
MUFTI A.K.HOOSSEN***

***AND THE

TREACHERY
OF THE QUADRIPARTITE OF
KILAAB***

THE CARRION CABAL'S ANIMOSITY AND MALICE

Ever since Mufti A.K.Hoosen had adopted the stance of the Haqq – to proclaim the Haqq – to declare SANHA's certified chickens and the chickens certified by all carrion halaalizers **HARAAM**, the Carrion Cabal consisting of Navlakhi and Lockhat, has become his enemy. Listening to the Haqq was anathema for them.

In an insane and desperate desire to stifle the Haqq which Mufti A.K.Hoosen was propagating on the carrion chicken issue, and in vengeful craving to humiliate him, the Carrion Cabal sought relief and protection from the non-Muslim forum, Broadcasting Complaints Commission of South Africa. Prior to the Carrion Cabal's letter of complaint to the BCCSA, this miserable carrion halaalizing clique resorted to the despicable stunt of enlisting treacherous support against Mufti A.K.Hoosen from his own students – students to whom Mufti A.K.Hoosen had imparted the Ilm of the Shariah stemming from the Qur'aan Majeed.

The four students of Mufti A.K.Hoosen are members of SANHA's puppet, toothless, spineless 'ulama council' whose only function is to sign and rubber stamp the instructions barked by the Carrion Cabal. Besides, this despicable function, the puppet 'ulama' council has no other duty. The four students who have been compelled by the Carrion Cabal to become treacherous turncoats against their Ustaadh, Mufti A.K.Hoosen are: **Junaid Adam, Ismail Allie, Rafique Hasan and Yusuf Patel**. These four treacherous **KILAAB**, under command of Navlakhi were ordered to sign a letter of complaint against Mufti A.K.Hoosen. There was no meeting of the puppet 'ulama' council, and no other meeting of the Carrion organization. The Carrion Cabal prepared the letter and simply thrust it into faces of the puppet 'ulama' council members for appending their signatures. The aforementioned four *Kilaab*, bowing to the command of Navlakhi, took to the path of treachery, and committed the major sin of endorsing the Carrion Cabal's letter in condemnation of their Ustaadh.

It is indeed mind-boggling to behold the level of treacherous degeneration to which these 'molvi' students have descended. Bereft of the slightest vestige of *Khauf (Fear)* for Allah Ta'ala, this group of *Kilaab* deemed it appropriate and honourable to support the Carrion Cabal against their Ustaadh. They most ungratefully bit the hand that had spiritually fed them for years. They come within the purview of the adage: "*Fatten your dog (so that) it can devour you.*" The four *Kilaab* have taken it upon themselves to treacherously devour their Ustaadh with the aid of the Carrion Cable.

Have they forgotten or have they selected to deliberately forget and ignore what Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) had said regarding the Ustaadh-Shaagird (Student) relationship? Nabi-e-Kareem (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said that ***he who teaches you one word of Ilm is your master and you are his slave***. But these four treacherous slaves have become ungrateful *Kilaab* insanely craving to devour their Ustaadh to satisfy the inordinate vengeful dictates of the Carrion Cabal. The following is the text of the letter of complaint which Navlakhi ordered the *Kilaab* to obsequiously endorse:

Letter to station master of channel Islam

Mr Shamsheer Khan

The Station Manager

Channel Islam International

Assalaamu 'Alaikum

Respected Brother in Islam

The recent condemnation of SANHA's certification of commercially slaughtered birds by Mufti AK Hoosen on his Q & A programme has caused widespread disillusionment and disappointment within the Ulama and broader Muslim community. This is not on account of airing a different opinion on the commercial slaughter of chickens but rather because of the discourteous and impolite manner of expressing his views. His answer to a simple question posed by a listener elicited a confrontational and hostile response unbecoming of a radio personality let alone a scholar of the Deen.

We as members of the Ulama Council of SANHA find the palpable misuse of the airwaves by yourselves insensitive and demeaning. The function of the Ulama Council which comprises of eight Ulama organizations is to develop and endorse operational procedures and policies of SANHA. The Ulama organizations have appointed a panel of Muftis to the SANHA Fatwa Committee which serves as its Shari'ah Advisory Board. Needless to mention that this service is offered at no cost and with no monetary benefit to any one of the eight Ulama organizations or their representatives. Your Mufti AK's statement that ***"the maxim amounts of chickens are slaughtered so as to make the maximum amount of profit"*** is a slanderous accusation against the eight august Ulama organizations. We have been repeatedly assaulted with the ***'scholars for dollars'*** refrain by Mufti AK.

We must reiterate that this is not an attempt to influence Mufti AK Hoosen so that he adopts a SANHA friendly posture, but rather an appeal for him to be courteous, respectful and dignified when airing his views even if they differ from the collective wisdom of eight Ulama organizations. ***As a Muslim community we can ill afford such forms of public derision at a time when the very identity of the Ummah is being challenged.***

We are of the view that the silence of Cii's management in the matter could be construed as unwittingly abetting to sow seeds of friction in the community.

We request you, *in the interest of balance coverage*, to give SANHA an equal right to respond and also ask that you read this message out on Cii's airwaves.

Was-salaam

The Ulama Council of SANHA

❖	Majlisud Da'wa wal Islah	–	Moulana Ismail Allie
❖	Jamiatul Ulama South Africa	–	Moulana Ebrahim I Bham
❖	Council of Ulama, Eastern Cape	–	Moulana Junaid Adam
❖	Darul Ihsan	–	Mufti Zubair Bhayat
❖	Darul Waqaf	–	Sheikh Ebrahim Essop
❖	Central Islamic Trust	–	Moulana Mohammed Suliman
❖	Northern Cape Halaal Board	–	Moulana Rafeek A Hassen
❖	Jamiatul Ulama Western Cape	–	Moulana Ighsaan Abrahams

(end of letter)

When this letter was sent the first time to the puppet 'ulama' council for rubber stamping, the following names appeared as the writers:

Moulana Ismail Allie	- Majlisud Da'wa wal Islah
Reverend Abrahamm Bham	- No Name Brand Jamiat of Fordsburg (the bogus Jamiatul Ulama S.A.)
Moulana Junaid Adam	- Council of Ulama Eastern Cape (Dealer in tons of carrion chickens)
Mufti Zubair Bhayat	- Darush Shaitaan (the so-called Darul Ihsan of Durban)
Mr. Tony Ebrahim Essop	- Darul Waqaf (Convicted and sentenced)
Moulana M. Suliman	- Central Islamic Trust
Moulana Rafeek A. Hassan	- Northern Cape Halaal Board
Moulana Igsaan Abrahams	- Jamiatul Ulama Western Cape.

The three highlighted names plus **Yusuf Patel** of Middleburg, shairman of SANHA, are the students of Mufti A.K. Hoosen. These four are the *Kilaab* who have treacherously poised themselves to devour their Ustaadh.

It has reached us that Moulana Igsaan Abrahams of Jamiatul Ulama Western Cape, although not a student of Mufti A.K. Hoosen, had rejected the Carrion Cable's letter, refusing to sign it. The above letter of complaint was sent to Channel Islam without the names of the individual members who represent the puppet ulama bodies. It is not known if any of the characters mentioned above, besides Moulana Ighsaan Abrahams, had signed or refused to sign. Nevertheless, the names of the organizations they represent appear as the senders of the letter. The name of Jamiatul Ulama Western Cape has been deleted in view of the Jamiat's rejection of the haraam treacherous letter. It is also not yet known why the names of the individuals have been deleted since the first letter had all the names of those who had been instructed to sign on behalf of their tinpot 'ulama' bodies.

The Carrion Cabal has degenerated to an exceptionally low ebb to grovel in sewer drains. It has most dishonourably compelled the students of Mufti A.K. Hoosen to devour him. What shame and what conscience can be expected from those who halaalize and devour carrion? In supporting the Carrion Cabal, the group of *Kilaab* treacherously ignored the sacred Ustaadh-Shaagird relationship which Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) had established. The *Kilaab* have shamelessly bared their poisonous fangs for their Ustaadh at the behest of the Carrion Cable. These treacherous *Kilaab* will not escape the dreadful consequences of their treachery. The only hope for them is to fall at the feet of their Ustaadh to seek forgiveness for a treachery which totally blocks the spiritual channel between Ustaadh and Student.

The following is the letter of complaint which the Carrion Cabal sent to the BSSCA:

South African National Halaal Authority

الهيئة الوطنية لتوثيق الحلال بجنوب أفريقيا

Tel: (+27 31) 207 5768
Fax: (+27 31) 207 5793
E-mail: sanha-ken@sanha.org.za
www.sanha.org.za



4th Floor, Genn To
28 Overport Drive
Overport 4001
Durban, South Africa

P.O. Box 2092, Durban 4000, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa
(Registered Non-Profit Organisation: 087-035 NPC)

27 November 2013

The Chairman
Broadcasting Complaints Commission of South Africa
P O Box 412365
Craighall
2024

Dear Sir,

The South African National Halaal Authority (**SANHA**) established 17 years ago, is an international non-profit organisation, representing its Muslim membership on all matters pertaining to the general application of the term Halaal with specific reference to Islamic dietary laws. **SANHA's** Executive membership comprises of leading Theological Bodies and Professional Organisations of global repute and support of 140 community organisations. It is a representative authority, which promotes professionalism and excellence in the certification of Halaal food and other related products in accordance with Islamic law (Shar'iah).

SANHA is a founder member and holds an executive position on the World Halaal Council. It has collaborative links with Halaal Certifying Bodies in different parts of the world and has signed Memorandum of Understanding with over 15 organisations internationally. Locally it holds memberships of several industry organisations such as Chambers of Commerce etc. It employs 130 people with more than 35 percent of its staff being Theologians.

The word Halaal is derived from the Quran and means lawful or permitted. The consumption of Halaal food and adherence to Halaal dietary laws are central and a fundamental article to the Muslim faith, without which there can be no Salvation hence the gravity and seriousness with which this is pursued and held sacrosanct. The **SANHA** Halaal logo is found on tens of thousands of products and is relied upon by trade and consumers in upholding this religious accountability to the Creator. The Muslim consumer, retailers, butchers, food processors and restaurant owners are a significant segment of the market and mass consumers of poultry. It must be borne in mind that in terms of Islamic jurisprudence one cannot stock or sell products that one is not permitted to consume.

We are aggrieved by Channel Islam International's (Cii) utterings on a programme on the 4th of November 2013 and its consequences. The presenter of this regular weekly programme, a Mufti AK Hoosen, levelled malicious remarks against the integrity of our organisation employing innuendo and untruths. He further omitted the very significant fact that the Rainbow poultry plant enjoys certification and approval of all Halaal bodies in the country but instead, in a display of partiality and prejudice singled out only **SANHA** for derision.

This caused much consternation and we were inundated with queries from consumers some of whom sought verification whilst others expressed outrage at the thought of having consumed Haraam (unlawful meat) and others were alarmed at the slur on the character of our leadership. Our member organisations also received calls from concerned individuals enquiring as to whether they had violated Islamic dietary law and were appalled at the apparent failure by **SANHA** to uphold their trust.

The presenter's reference to our AGM and fomenting of the listeners on this score is a clear display of prejudice and transgressed the bounds of impartial and responsible broadcasting. We received numerous queries following the programme one of which is attached herewith. (See Annexure). We also had known associates of the presenter attend the AGM and place anti-SANHA material on the vehicles of the AGM attendees.

This presenter also launched a tirade falsely accusing **SANHA** of posting a podcast on its website alleging that we had edited out "**blatant lie statements**" made against him. No such action was ever undertaken as **SANHA** neither produced nor edited such a podcast.

His reference to monetary gain also impugns on the integrity of our Theological Executive members who render a pro bono service to **SANHA** on behalf of the community. And in a final insult, the office of our Chairman was denigrated by comparison to a dog.

SANHA was never consulted prior to the programme for comment and given the consequences, we requested a right to reply to put our side of the story to listeners and bring closure to this unfortunate saga. Unfortunately, our reasonable request has been denied with vexatious diversionary tactics, correspondence of which is attached herewith.

Therefore, we humbly approach the BCCSA and pray for its swift intervention to seek relief and minimise the harm and injustice done to our organisation.

Yours sincerely

For **South African National Halaal Authority**



EBI Lockhat
Public Relations Officer

(end of letter)

From the Shariah's perspective there is absolutely not a single valid complaint. Does a Muslim, especially one who claims to be an 'Aalim', complain to a kuffaar entity if the Ustaadh compares his own student to a *kalb*? The student who takes offence and becomes annoyed with his Ustaadh merely because the Ustaadh says that he is a *kalb*, is indeed a despicable epistasis, rotten to the core and beyond the core. The student is the slave of his Ustaadh according to Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam). This is the Islamic concept of the Ustaadh-Student relationship. A student who does not recognize and understand this concept is worse than a *kalb*. He is a **khinzeer** according to the Hadith. Such **khanaazeer** who betray their Ustaadh come fully within the glare of the Hadith in which Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said: "*He who imparts Ilm (Knowledge of the Deen) to someone who is (naturally) unqualified (for bearing this sacred Amaanat) is like one who garlands **khanaazer** with diamonds, pearls and gold.*" This is the appropriate epithet for the four treacherous Students of Mufti A.K.Hoosen.

We warn this vile convecticle of *Kilaab and Khanaazeer* that failing to hasten with appropriate amends at the feet of their Ustaadh, the repercussions for them will be gruesome, and for which they will not have to wait for Maut or the Aakhirah.

The arrogant puerility and the vinous vindictiveness disgorged by the Carrion Cable in the letter of haraam complaint to the BCCSA (see above) vividly expose the malice of the corrupt juhala who operate the Carrion Cable. A substantial portion of the arrant nonsense in the letter is a laughable attempt by the Carrion Cabal to project for themselves an image of nobility, responsibility and to convey to the non-Muslim BCCSA that they (the members of the Carrion Cabal) enjoy a lofty pedestal of authority and acceptance in the universal Muslim community when in fact, the exact opposite is the reality. This hallucinated reputation of this clique of carrion halaalizers lies in the sewer drains torn into shreds by perennial exposure of their haraam carrion and pork dealings under cover of haraam 'halaal' certificates.

Apart from the abortive projection of the hallucinated 'lofty' status, they accuse Mufti A.K.Hoosen of innuendo and untruths, and of having "denigrated 'our' (i.e. the Cabal Carrion's) chairman by comparison with a dog". If it is assumed that these accusations are factual, does the Imaan of molvies or of even ordinary Muslims who have a proper understanding Islamic Morality (Akhlaaq) dictate procession to a kuffaar forum to seek relief for issues about which the Qur'aan instructs: *"Ward off evil with that which is better, for then the animosity between you and him (the adversary) will be transformed into warm friendship."*

This is the dispute resolution commanded by the Qur'aan Majeed. Allah Ta'ala promises in this aayat that if *gheebat* and *buhtaan* (slander) are countered with goodness, i.e. with Salaam and Dua, etc., then the animosity will be substituted with warm and true friendship. But, instead of adopting this Qur'aanic prescription, the Carrion Cable supported by its *Kilaab* molvies with their rubber stamps which operate under Navlakhi's command, shoves aside the Qur'aan Majeed, and hastens to the kuffaar BCCSA entity for kuffaar resolution, blissfully forgetting the sternest of warnings sounded in the Qur'aan Hakeem:

***"Those who do not decide according to that (Shariah)
revealed by Allah, verily, they are the kaafiroon."***

It is the malice which has incorrigibly corrupted the heart with a blindness that prevents from understanding the Qur'aan and its *Ta'leemaat*, and which has culminated in the paroxysmal letter of complaint directed to the non-Muslim forum. For Navlakhi, Lockhat and the quadripartite of ungrateful *Kilaab*, the Qur'aan Hakeem interrogatively poses:

*What! Do you search for the law of Jaahiliyyah! (such as the law of
kuffaar courts and semi-courts, e.g. BCCSA).*

In rebellious response to Allah's condemnatory warning, the Navlakhi-Lockhat Carrion Cabal howls: Yes, we shall search for a balm for our injured pride at the BCCSA forum!

The claim of 'denigration by comparison with a dog' is most despicable. That the Carrion Cabal's 'chairman', viz. Molvi Yusuf Patel, in the context of the sacrosanct *Ustaadh-Shaagird* is indeed a **KALB** posed to devour his Ustaadh, there is no doubt. A student who has resolved to flagrantly revile and humiliate his Ustaadh in the kuffaar forum and the community as a whole to ensure the perpetuation of whatever perks the Carrion Cabal is doling out to him, is a vile copro-epistasis egregiously abusing the *Huqooq* of his Ustaadh. Undoubtedly such a horrible specimen of ingratitude is mildly and reprimandingly depicted as a *Kalb*. It is haraam for a student to take offence and to regard such a validly lawful rebuke in terms of the Shariah as being denigration and insult. If this *Kalb's* brains are not irrevocably vermiculated, he will not fail to discern the *naseehat* of a *shafeeq* (affectionate) Ustaadh in the mild rebuke stemming from the aggrieved heart of his superior – a superior who according to Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) is the master while the *Kalb* is his slave in all aspects barring the Fiqhi domain.

A volume can be filled in response and refutation of the vindictive rubbish with which the Carrion Cabal has overloaded its stupid letter of complaint. But, for the moment the issue of further response shall be left in abeyance, and shall be continued, Insha-Allah, in the light of further moves by the Carrion Cabal and its puppet organ of the quadripartite of **Kilaab**.

Deeming it sagacious, the United Ulama Council of South Africa (UUCSA), sent the following letter to the BCCSA:

مجلس اتحاد العلماء بجنوب افريقيا
UNITED ULAMA COUNCIL OF SOUTH AFRICA

P.O.Box 87
Meyerton 1960
South Africa



e-mail: unitedulamacouncilsouthafrica@gmail.com

P.O.Box 8008
Schauderville 6060
South Africa

7 January 2014

The Registrar
Broadcasting Complaints Commission of S.A.
P.O.Box 412365
Craighall 2012

e-mail: hccsa@nabsa.co.za

RE: COMPLAINT AGAINST CHANNEL ISLAM INTERNATIONAL

In this matter we refer to a letter dated 27 November 2013 purportedly directed to you by SANHA (South African National Halaal Authority).

Please be informed that the letter referred to above was unauthorized. Two members of SANHA, viz. Mr.M.Navlakhi and Mr.E.Lokhat, had acted arbitrarily without consulting the Ulama Council which is the most senior and important component of SANHA. In fact, one of the major Ulama Council members of SANHA, viz., Jamiatul Ulama Western Cape, had expressly vetoed the letter.

The two members had no mandate from SANHA to lodge the complaint against Channel Islam and its presenter, Mufti A.K.Hoosen. The letter, to say the least, is *mala fides*, and should not be entertained by the BCCSA.

Should the matter proceed in your forum, we are certain that High Court action will ensue.

We take this opportunity to make it clear that the nature of the complaint is frivolous. The issue is a purely religious one and we believe that the BCCSA is not the proper forum for the acquisition of relief by the aggrieved parties. It is best that the BCCSA does not become involved in an issue which will constrain you to listen to incomprehensible religious doctrines.

It is our humble advice that you advise the complainant to seek resolution in an appropriate Islamic religious forum.

Thanking you in anticipation.

Yours sincerely

Abdullah Ibn ~~Hamid~~ *Muhammad*

For

UNITED ULAMA COUNCIL OF S.A.

(end of letter)

UUCSA, upholding the Qur'aanic principle of establishing peace between Muslim adversaries, wrote the following conciliatory letter of *naseehat* to SANHA:

<p>مجلس اتحاد العلماء بجنوب افريقيا</p> <h2 style="margin: 0;">UNITED ULAMA COUNCIL OF SOUTH AFRICA</h2>		
<p>P.O.Box 87 Meyerton 1960 South Africa</p>		<p>P.O.Box 8008 Schauderville 6060 South Africa</p>
<p>e-mail: unitedulamacouncilsouthafrica@gmail.com</p>		

ASSALAMU ALAIKUM

2 Rabiul Awwal 1435
4 January 2014

The Ulama Council and Trustees of
South Africa National Halaal Authority
P.O.Box 2092
Durban 4000
e-mail: sanha-kzn@sanha.org.za
sanha-gp@sanha.org.za
sanha-cpt@sanha.org.za

Respected Ulama and Brothers,

SANHA'S BCCSA COMPLAINT-CHANNEL ISLAM-MUFTI A.K.HOSEN

With reference to the above matter, your letter dated 27 November 2013 to the Broadcasting Complaints Commission of South Africa has been made available to us. We have noted your concerns and complaint.

The step you have taken to seek relief has indeed grieved us. Instead of abiding by the command of the Qur'aan, you have resorted to a non-Muslim commission to resolve a dispute between Ulama. The issue is purely a Muslim one concerning Brothers. Regardless of the nature of the issue, the Qur'aan commands:

**"And, if two groups of the Mu'mineen fight (one another), then
establish peace between them....."**

The forum you have selected for relief and resolution is unfortunately kuffaar who are not in position to adjudicate between Muslims. The matter is aggravated by the fact that the adversaries in this dispute are Ulama.

Whatever the dispute is, we urge you to reconsider the matter and to withdraw your letter of complaint. The matter can be amicably, brotherly and in the spirit of the teaching of the Qur'aan and Sunnah be resolved. Have the Ulama descended to such a low ebb that constrains them to seek relief from the kuffaar who are now being appointed as *hakams* to decide a simple dispute between two Ulama? It is indeed a sad day for our community that the Ulama leaders who are supposed to be exemplars and guide the community are submitting themselves to the kuffaar and seeking relief in terms of the laws of the kuffaar.

Respected Ulama, you are supposed to be the Heirs and Representatives of the Ambiya (alayhimus salaam). Can you envisage two Sahaabah who are in a dispute asking a kaafir to decide their case? This is typical Yahoody mentality and methodology.

The Ulama Council has a Waajib obligation of providing the non-Ulama members of SANHA such as our honourable brother, EBI Lockhat, with sound and sagacious Islamic advice. It saddens us to observe that the Ulama Council has failed to guide the management board in the light of the Shariah and the ethos of the Qur'aan and Sunnah. Surely, there is no scope in the Shariah for an Alim to petition a kaafir entity to punish another Alim who has allegedly uttered defamation.

We urge the Ulama Council to advise the management board of SANHA to withdraw its letter of complaint, and to resolve the dispute in an Islamic and brotherly manner.

Although we see no need to pursue this puerile issue, nevertheless, if the need is felt, a prominent senior Alim or senior Ulama could be requested to act as a forum for resolving the dispute.

SANHA is a reputable Muslim organisation. It does not bode good for you to enlist kuffaar aid for relief against an Alim brother. Disputes will always occur. But these should be resolved within the confines of the Shariah

It is our fervent dua that the Ulama Council will correctly advise the management board of SANHA to withdraw and bring this negative issue to a satisfactorily closure before The Majlis initiates another uncalled for controversy. We are in contact with The Majlis whom we believe has been provided with a copy of your letter to the BCCSA, and we have urged that restraint be exercised, and that the matter should not be exacerbated with negative publicity.

We believe that SANHA as an organization is committed to resolve disputes in accordance with the Glorious Shariah. Your Notarial Deed of Trust pledges submission to the 'Glorious Shariah'. We therefore plead with you to uphold this sacred commitment which you have given to Allah Subhaanahu Wa Ta'ala.

May you all be rightly guided, and may you honour the Brotherhood of Islam as commanded by the Glorious Qur'aan. The kuffaar should be left out of the picture.

Was-salaam

Abdullah Ibn Muhammad

UNITED ULAMA COUNCIL OF SOUTH AFRICA

(end of letter)

Arrogantly responding to this letter, the *Kalb*, Yusuf Patel, wrote:

From: "Public Relations" <pro-kzn@sanha.org.za>
Date: Wed, 8 Jan 2014 12:18:57 +0200
To: <unitedulamacouncilsouthafrica@gmail.com>
Cc: MI Yusuf Patel<jamiatmp@telkomsa.net>; Shouneez Martin<shouneez@nabsa.co.za>;
<shamsheer@ciibroadcasting.com>
Subject: SANHA's BCCSA Complaint - Channel Islam - Mufti AK Hoosen

Brother Abdullah Ibn Muhammad,

As Salaamu Alaikum

Your e-mail dated the 4 January 2014 addressed to our Ulama Council and Trustees sent to all our offices in the above matter refers.

As you might be aware a notice was circulated in September 2013 by the original Ulama Council of the same name that you claim to represent, alleging your status as bogus and the "***hijacking***" of their name. A copy is attached herewith.

In light of this, it would be highly inappropriate to respond to your query at this stage without satisfying ourselves on your legitimacy and bona fides. Accordingly, we request a copy of your organisation's constitution, registration and members details.

In the meantime we are forwarding this correspondence to the BCCSA, the United Ulama Council and the broadcaster concerned as these organisations have been cited by yourselves.

We await your response.

Wassalaam

Yours sincerely

For South African National Halaal Authority

(end of letter)

In response, UCCSA wrote:

مجلس اتحاد العلماء بجنوب افريقيا
UNITED ULAMA COUNCIL OF SOUTH AFRICA ®

P.O.Box 87
Meyerton 1960
South Africa



e-mail: unitedulamacouncilsouthafrica@gmail.com

P.O.Box 8008
Schauderville 6060
South Africa

Maulana Yusuf Patel
SANHA

Respected Maulana Sahib,

SANHA'S COMPLAINT AGAINST YOUR USTAADH MUFTI A.K.HOSEN SAHIB

We thank you for your letter dated 8 January 2014 with reference to the above matter.

We deem it demeaning to respond to the puerile and frivolous scoring pointS which you have raised with the clear intention of side-tracking from the fundamental issue. We ask you to fear Allah Ta'ala and to reflect on Maut and the Accountability in the Aakhirat.

We respectfully and humbly draw your attention to the Hadith in which Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said that the person who has taught you one word of Ilm is your master, and you are his slave. Respected Maulana Sahib, you hail from a spiritual lineage of such Ulama who were paragons of the Sunnah and epitomes of Taqwa. Even though all of us lack in their attribute of Tawaadhu', nevertheless, we are expected to conduct ourselves within the parameters of their shadows and spiritual shade. At least *zaahirun* we should not acquit ourselves like ungrateful *kilaab*.

Your Ustaadh is Mufti A.K.Hoosen. Even if it is accepted that he had labelled you a 'dog', it was your incumbent duty as his shaagird to have convinced yourself: "*I am worse than a dog.*" If in your opinion, your Ustaadh had erred in comparing you to an 'ungrateful dog'; set to devour him, then too, it was your bounden duty to have hastened to him, hold his feet and apologize profusely for the *ghustaakhi* he alleges against you.

Regardless of whether you had been a *gustaakh* or not, you have no right to defend yourself and the carrion cabal against your Ustaadh. There is no *najaat* for you in gimmicks and technicalities. The very bottom line is that your Ustaadh is *sakht naaraaz* with you. Your heart will become spiritually clogged and all *faidh* will be shut off from you as long as you do not make proper amends to restore proper Ustaadh-Shaagird relationship with Mufti A.K.Hoosen.

It is indeed lamentable and horrible, for a student to become so defiant, rebellious and shameless as to push out his chest, side publicly with the carrion cabal, append his signature to a letter in condemnation of his Ustaadh, and become a party to proceed to a kuffaar forum to humiliate his Ustaadh. Maulana, how can your conscience tolerate such a vile travesty and treachery? How can you sleep in peace when you have opted to devour your Ustaadh? Now, if your Ustaadh likens you to a dog, then the epithet is quite befitting, and you have no right to take offense. After all, it was your Ustaadh who had labelled you as a dog. So acknowledge in your heart that you are in deed, in terms of the Shariah, an ungrateful *kalb*, within the purview of the adage stated by your Ustaadh, viz.

"Sammin kalbaka fa ya'kulaka".

To erase this curse and to restore the correct Waajib relationship with your Ustaadh, you are under Shar'i compulsion to:

1. Dissociate yourself from the letter which you had endorsed at the command of the Navlakhi-Lockhat Carrion Cabal.
2. Dissociate yourself from the BCCSA complain.

3. Apply pressure on the Carrion Cabal to withdraw their haraam complaint against your Ustaadh.

What does your heart say regarding the rush to a kuffaar forum where the Ustaadh is supposed to be humiliated by a kaafir adjudicator?

Does the Ilm of Wahi which you had acquired by studying Qur'aan Tafseer, Hadith and Fiqah permit you to haul your Ustaadh into a kaafir forum for humiliation?

Is it written anywhere in Bukhari Shareef, or any kitaab of Hadith, Tafseer or Fiqh that it is permissible and honourable for Student to set himself up in defiance of his Ustaadh, and to sign letters condemning his Ustaadh and to petition a kaafir forum to clobber his Ustaadh?

We urge you, Maulana Sahib, to adopt Tawaadhu. Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said: "He who adopts tawaadhu' for the sake of Allah, Allah will elevate him.:" Do you think that there is izzat for you in having joined the Carrion Cabal alliance against your Ustaadh?

You need not inconvenience yourself about sending our correspondence to the BCCSA. We have already relieved you of this onerous task. Don't worry! We shall forward every letter we direct to you or any one else on this specific issue, to the BCCSA. It only pains us to observe to what a corrupt level a shaagird has degenerated to in his relationship with his Ustaadh.

Don't seek to deflect from the bone of contention, from the issue which has ushered you into suspense, hovering on the brink of Jahannum as a sequel to your highly disrespectful attitude towards your Ustaadh. It is such ingratitude that has earned for you the epithet of *kalb* from your Ustaadh.

You will not escape the ghadhb and la'nat of Allah Ta'ala and the Malaaiikah if you do not hasten to your Ustaadh to make amends. Your request for our constitution, registration and membership is laughable drivel and a red herring and a stunt to create confusion, to soothe the carrion demands of the Carrion Cabal and to blur the issue in a haze of nafsaniyat. Our constitution and the junk and najis kuffaar constitution of the Carrion Cabal and the other stupidities you have requested shall surface if and when this matter is constrained by your ingratitude and the kufr of the Carrion Cabal to end up in the kuffaar High Court. You may therefore exercise patience and restrain your curiosity until these documents, etc. are revealed in the kaafir forum whom you have elevated to a pedestal higher than the Qur'aan, Sunnah and the Shariah.

Just don't forget that if you are not going to seek pardon from your Ustaadh, it will be too late when the Rooh is stuck in the throat at the time of Maut.

Was-salaam

Abdullah Ibn Muhammad

UNITED ULAMA COUNCIL OF SOUTH AFRICA

(end of letter)

On 10 January 2014, UUCSA once more wrote to the BCCSA:



The Registrar
Broadcasting Complaints Commission of S.A.
P.O.Box 412365
Craighall 2012

e-mail: bccsa@nabsa.co.za

RE: COMPLAINT AGAINST CHANNEL ISLAM INTERNATIONAL

It has been brought to our notice, that SANHA or some individuals purportedly writing on behalf of SANHA are attempting to mislead you with the defamatory claim that our organization (United Ulama Council of S.A.) is a 'bogus' body. We shall be instituting legal action against them for their criminal defamation.

We wish to inform you that Mr. Yusuf Patel who is the chairman of SANHA and some others in cahoots with him are masquerading as UUCSA. In reality they are the bogus 'uucsa', and they are illegally using the name of our organization.

You could satisfy yourself by demanding from them their certificate of registration. Our name is legally registered by the Registrar of Trade Marks, and we hold a certificate from the Registrar which authorizes us to use this name. The name UUCSA belongs exclusively to us. Those masquerading as 'uucsa', Mr. Yusuf Patel in particular, have no legal certificate to substantiate their grossly false and misleading claim.

The purpose of this letter is to make you aware of the existence of a few bogus characters masquerading as 'uucsa'. If you require a copy of our certificate of registration, please do not hesitate to let us know.

Yours sincerely

Abdullah Ibn Muhammad

P.S. In our previous letter, the typist by error typed the name of the writer as Abdullah Ibn Hanif. It should have been Abdullah Ibn Muhammad.

The Muslim community shall, Insha-Allah, be kept informed of further developments in this Carrion Saga initiated by the Navlakhi-Lockhat Carrion Cabal aided and abetted by the poppet, quadripartite of *Kilaab*

8 Rabiul Awwal 1435 – 10 January 2014.