# SURE-LOCK HOMES AT HIS BEST

- The case of the terrorist in the cave



Published by: Al-Namal Publications P. O. Box 96185 Brixton 2019 South Africa

#### SURE-LOCK HOMES AT HIS BEST

- The Case of the Terrorist in the Cave. **Prepared by:** Dr. Ismail Mangera

# Published by:

Al-Namal Publications P. O. Box 96185 Brixton, 2019 South Africa

Telephone: (+27) 011 837-5736 E-mail: dr.imangera@mweb.co.za

Reprinted March 2018



# **CONTENTS**

Introduction to the present re-print	3
SURE-LOCK HOMES AT HIS BEST	
- The Case of the Terrorist in the Cave	
Preface	
Introduction	
THE CASE OF THE TERRORIST IN THE CAVE	
Chapter 1: Internal turmoil	
Chapter 2: Meeting his old friend, Sure-Lock Homes	
Chapter 3: Exploring the available information	
Chapter 4: Arriving at conclusions	
Chapter 5: Delving into the concept of Fundamentalsm	
Chapter 6: Tying up some loose ends	
Chapter 7: Back home: Final assessment and introspection	
END NOTES	
Interview with Usama Bin Laden	
Paul Craig Roberts: 9/11 After 13 years	
RECOMMENDED INVESTIGATIVE WERSITES	



#### Bismihí-Ta'álá

# Introduction to the present re-print

This is a reprint of the book "Sure-Lock Homes at his best - The Case of the Terrorist in the Cave." A draft copy was initially prepared just a few months after 9/11, from very basic material and with very meagre resources. When more information was available, the draft copy was subsequently modified and expanded, and then published in this form.

The tragic events of the destruction of the Twin Towers in 2001 were undoubtedly world-shaking. The consequences for Muslim countries were devastating, as we have witnessed since then. However, not everybody was prepared to accept the official story that Usama Bin Laden and his group, referred to as "Al-Qaeda", were responsible for the destruction of the Towers.

A few stalwarts did some excellent research to point out big holes in the official version pushed out by the government, and dutifully propagated as such in the mass media. The trickle of facts that were picked up by these pioneers, has become a veritable deluge. One doubts that, in this day and age, anybody who studies the facts that have been presented by numerous experts, can still believe the official tale put out by officials of the Bush government.

This booklet deals mainly with the destruction of the Twin Towers. However, it must be borne in mind that, on that fateful day, Building 7 also collapsed. In addition, the public were informed that a third hijacked plane had crashed into the Pentgon. This booklet does not cover these events specifically.

It must also be borne in mind that the destruction of the Twin Towers was merely a catalyst for a far greater plan by those who were really responsible. One has to look at the broader geopolitical picture in order to understand what role the destruction of the Twin Towers had in the events that took place subsequently. Afghanistan was attacked, even though none of the so-called hijackers were Afghanis. The Taliban government was prepared to hand over Usama

Bin Laden to stand trial if there was sufficient evidence to prove beyond doubt that he was the mastermind behind the events of 9/11. As we all know, this offer was not taken up, yet he was blamed as being behind 9/11. Subsequently, Iraq was attacked, followed by Libya. At this point in time, we are witnessing the destabilisation of Syria. Much has been written on these aspects, and one needs to look up the reasearch done by honest researches to be able to see the broader picture.

More than 16 years down the line, the situation is aptly set down by an article written by Paul Craig Roberts way back in 2014. His article has been reproduced at the end.

This book, "Sure-Lock Homes at his best - The Case of the Terrorist in the Cave", serves the purpose of making readers aware of the falsity of the official version of the events of 9/11. It is also a reminder of the early efforts made at uncovering the truth about 9/11. The amount of research that has gone into investigating the whole episode, by now, is voluminous. Some of what was written in this booklet in those early months after 9/11, needs to be revised in the light of the research done up to now. However, the basic message remains the same: Neither was Usama Bin Laden responsible for destroying the Twin Towers, nor were so-called "Islamist Terrorists" responsible.

This book serves as an important cog in the whole saga of uncovering the truth about 9/11. It also serves another purpose: It discusses several other issues associated with 9/11 – important clarifications of many misconceptions – and it, therefore, still is an important booklet.

May you enjoy it and may it lead you to read up on the subsequent research done.

Dr. ismail Mangera



# SURE-LOCK HOMES AT HIS BEST - The Case of the Terrorist in the Cave Preface

The bombing of the Twin Towers of the World Trade Centre in New York and the Pentagon in Washington may be stale news by now. However, September 11, 2001, is a date that will go down in history. Future generations will remember this date as the period when world history took a sudden dramatic turn. It is, therefore, important to get the record straight as to what happened on that fateful day.

Amazingly and unbelievably, even now, the events of September 11 are used as if the conclusions that the mass media had arrived at were hard facts. It is taken for granted that Sheikh Usama Bin Laden was the mastermind behind the bombing of the Twin Towers and the Pentagon, and that his Al-Qaeda organisation was the group that carried out the atrocities. Together with this, many other assumptions are taken for granted as if they are facts. For example, that Muslim "Fundamentalists" are behind all acts of "terrorism": that Afghanistan and other Muslim countries are "rogue" states; that the Al-Qaeda movement has a "terror" network throughout the world; etc., etc. These, and many other misconceptions, appear to be firmly implanted in the minds of the masses, not only of non-Muslims, but of Muslims as well! This is not surprising in the least. This is the result of the forceful propaganda machine of the west. Despite warnings from people who are aware of the designs of the west, the masses continue to view western news items as "gospel", resulting in this very sorry state of affairs.

Many are the people who have questioned this view and have tried to put the record straight. It is a healthy sign that many refuse to be railroaded into accepting the biased and distorted slants given in the media. The number of unbiased reports are numerous. Assessing the facts impartially and objectively, the picture that comes out is far different from the one painted by the mass media. The lies and distortions in the western media are stupendous.

#### Introduction

[The narrator in this Sure-Lock Homes series is Professor Jack Whatsin, old-time friend and companion of Sure-Lock Homes, now retired.

Professor Jack Whatsin is very much disturbed by an internal conflict. He seeks out his old friend, Sure-Lock Homes, one who had cracked numerous mysterious cases, to help him sort out the truth from the falsehood.

Sure-Lock Homes, famous investigator, had retired a long time ago, but, in this book, he makes a dramatic come-back at a very appropriate time to sort out a very tricky situation. Undoubtedly, if Mr. Sure-Lock Homes was ever needed, he was needed now!]

#### THE CASE OF THE TERRORIST IN THE CAVE.

# **Chapter 1: Internal turmoil**

It had been a long time - several decades, in fact - since I had last seen my friend, Mr. Homes. After he had retired and moved away from the city to live a life of retirement in the country, my contact with him had diminished considerably. I am also retired. After having left general practice, I had decided to enter academic medicine, where the hours and demands were much less. In due course, I was granted a professorship. However, a time came when the duties of Professorship were too heavy for somebody of my age. I thus made way for a younger man to take over my duties, but still kept in touch by attending the odd seminar.

But I am digressing. In my old age I tend to ramble quite a bit. Coming back to mentioning my old friend of many years: I had spent many years with him, and had tackled many exciting and interesting cases with him, Mr Homes being the one to crack them in the unique manner that testified to his great abilities, with me just giving a helping hand and assisting him when the need arose. It was not only exciting, but there was also a tremendous amount that I had learnt as well. What made me think of him again after such a length of time? I will tell you. It is this whole darn thing of

the 11th of September. Of course, there must be very few people in the world who are not aware of the tragic events of that dreadful day. I am speaking of the bombing of the Twin Towers of the World Trade Centre in New York and the one wing of the Pentagon in Washington, the killing of thousands of innocent men, women and children – an atrocious act if ever there was one! One's ire only increased when one saw Palestinians celebrating the whole event with obvious glee. To what depths has mankind not sunk!

My reactions were most probably that of most people who saw the event shown to us over and over again on television screens. The mesmerising effect of the planes heading for the towers; the impact and balls of fire; the subsequent collapse; the subsequent feeling of horror and numbness when seeing bodies falling from the towers and the carnage at ground level; then the gut feelings of anger and the desire to revenge this senseless destruction of human life. What sub-human species could have done it? Which animal could have been so callous and calculating as to destroy human lives, through no fault of their own, as if they had no right to exist?

I cannot remember when I had felt so angry before. I had seen many horrid things, but this event evoked such passions of anger as I had never experienced before.

The felons responsible for this calamitous act were obvious. The mastermind behind this carnage was none other than the notorious terrorist Usama Bin Laden, who perpetrated these heinous deeds through his Al-Qaeda terrorist organisation. He was also involved in the previous cowardly terrorist attacks on the American embassies in Nairobi and Dar-es-Salaam. Was he not a fugitive from his own country, Arabia, which had gone to the extent of withdrawing his citizenship? Yes, something had to be done to rid the world of such villainous people! Our Prime Minister, Blair, was to be complimented on taking the bold stand of supporting the American President, Bush, in his crusade to put an end to these terrorists and those rogue states that harboured them! That Afghanistan harboured such a criminal was understandable – it was a country that had killed

many a British soldier in the past. Many a youth never returned when sent to Afghanistan on behalf of Her Majesty's Forces. It will serve the Afghans right, and it will be a deterrent to others who may wish to follow in their footsteps.

But, even as these thoughts and emotions ran through my mind and body, a warning bell was ringing somewhere in the grey matter of my brain. My many years with Mr. Homes and the numerous cases that we had tackled together, brought an instinctive caution within me. I asked myself: Would Mr. Homes have jumped to the same conclusions? Were there not discrepancies that needed to be explained? Were there not a few voices that were having second thoughts on the whole matter? Were there not some dissidents who pointed fingers in other directions?

All these thoughts ran through my mind. What was I to believe? My mind was in turmoil. My emotions were running riot within me. Somehow, I was being torn apart. How was I to come to terms with myself? How was I to deal with the conflicting emotions assailing me? I had never felt at such a loss before. I could not fully understand all the trauma being inflicted on my psyche and emotions. What to do? I thought that it was just a momentary feeling. Like most other people, I was reacting like most normal people would at such a tragedy, and the emotions would subside with time. But they did not. With the news of the bombing of innocent people in Afghanistan, my condition got worse, not better! This darn thing was gnawing away inside me like nothing that I had experienced before. I had to discuss it with somebody and sort myself out. I could not think of anybody in my immediate circle of friends and acquaintances in whom I could confide - anyone who knew me well enough and would be able to unravel the knots in me and place some balm on my emotional wounds. There was one person though, my old friend, Mr. Homes: this was definitely a case for Mr. Homes! His cold, precise, but admirably balanced mind will surely sort it out for me. As far as I was concerned, he was the most perfect reasoning and observing machine that the world had ever seen. He also knew me as nobody else did, except, possibly my wife. But she had passed on a while back. So, Mr. Homes it had to be!

# Chapter 2: Meeting his old friend, Sure-Lock Homes

I rummaged through my papers and found an old business card of his. Homes had gone into the business of security systems for houses. The card read, "Be Sure to Lock your Homes with Sure-Lock Homes Security Systems." Phoning the number on the card I discovered that Homes had retired to the countryside long ago, but I did manage to obtain his address in the country and his phone number.

I must admit that I was a bit concerned about Mr. Homes' response. You see, many, many years had passed since our last contact and I was not too sure whether our relationship would still be as before. But I was worrying needlessly – Mr. Homes himself answered my call and greeted me warmly. "If you are coming all the way here you may as well stay overnight. There is plenty of room, so bring your overnight bag with." This relieved me of the worry of where to stay if our meeting was prolonged. I could not see the matter being resolved merely over a cup of tea. Making sure that I had no commitments over the next few days, I started packing my bag.

So, there were we, just like in the old days, relaxing in his study. Obviously, there were noticeable changes – the log fire was replaced with a gas heater; a computer, modem and printer occupied a prominent position on his desk; but the shelves had more books than ever and there were the usual crumpled newspapers on the desk, just like in the old days. There was a briar pipe nearby, but I did not see any tobacco pouch anywhere. There was a comfortable armchair near his desk and the study felt comfortable with a Persian carpet on the floor. There were some frames with Arabic calligraphy written on Egyptian papyrus on the walls, with a charming and soothing effect on one.

Of course, Mr. Homes himself had changed considerably, just like I must have changed. We were both quite old now, with hardly any hair on our heads. What little there was, was quite white. Mr. Homes

sported a beard which he never had in his old days, but his face still looked the same with a brightness and serenity which, I assume, came from living an unhurried life in the country.

"There is nothing more deceptive than an obvious fact." I was a bit startled out of my reverie by this comment of Mr. Homes. I remember him making this comment often in the old days.

"What do you mean?"

"It appears to be an obvious fact that Usama Bin Laden was behind the bombing of the Twin Towers, but was he?"

This comment jolted me and I sat bolt upright. I had at no stage, from my initial telephone call up to now, stated the reason for my visit. As in the past, I had the feeling that he could read my thoughts.

"What makes you bring up this topic?" I asked defensively.

"Simple deduction, my dear Professor! I have known you for too long. You would not, at this period of your life and my life, come for a mere social visit. From the time of your call, I was trying to figure out why you should want to see me. Obviously, it had to be a very grave matter, something that you could not discuss with any of your acquaintances. If it was a legal or financial matter, you would have discussed it with your lawyer or accountant. If it was some trivial query, it would not have been necessary to come all the way out here in mid-winter - you could have discussed it over the telephone. No, it had to be a very serious matter, and a very personal matter. Then, too, with your vast experience you would have been able to solve most of your personal problems yourself, as you must have been doing all these years. So, it had to be a matter of the utmost gravity. That brings us to the question: what is so serious a matter that needed to be discussed with somebody else, an old friend? As mentioned, it was not legal or financial. It is not health - though you could lose a few pounds and you are most probably taking lipidlowering tablets - but, otherwise, you sounded hale and hearty over the phone. So, the matter was not a physical problem, but more in the realm of the soul. Being a man of conscience, you most probably had an ethical/emotional matter to discuss. What could be this ethical/ emotional problem? You had given me no physical clues to go on and I had to rely completely on intuition. Many others have also been victims of emotional trauma that had been inflicted by the events of 11th September. Like many others with any conscience, you are also being ravaged by conflicting emotions. The loss of innocent lives in the bombings in New York and Washington was a traumatic event for all who saw or heard about it. In itself, this is a simple issue. What has complicated the issue is the loss of innocent lives in Afghanistan in the name of the United States and Britain and their allied forces. Anybody with a conscience will be equally traumatised by this. Those without any conscience will pass it off."

He had hit the nail on the head! Suddenly, it appeared that a whole burden had suddenly been lifted from my shoulders by Homes! It felt as if the pressure valve of my emotions had been released and I felt very calm and serene within me. I looked down, not wanting him to see the few drops of tears suddenly welling up in my eyes. I felt ashamed at my lack of control and this childish display of emotion. But then, I realised that it was a necessary catharsis. Did Mr. Homes notice this? I knew that his observant eyes would have noticed my reaction, but it did not bother me.

# Chapter 3: Exploring the available information

There was silence from both of us for a short while. When I looked up I saw that he was gazing pensively out of the window. I had half expected him to mock my lack of restraint, but, no, he was too elevated to act in that manner. He turned to me and said, "Let us go over the events again and sift the truth from the falsehood. This is the only method of getting to the bottom of it all.

"The method we shall use is the one that was used in a very famous case, *The Boscombe Valley Mystery*. The whole case was chronicled quite adequately and fully in the tabloids. The facts against the accused young man were most damning. If you remember, he was accused of murdering his father, and when the inspector of the constabulary came to arrest him, he said that he was not surprised

at this development and that it was no more than his just deserts. I remember that an immediate response was that it was a confession, proving beyond doubt his guilt, but he had subsequently stated in court that he was not guilty. However, working on the premise that this man was telling the truth, in the end it was shown that he was telling the truth.

"Of several methods that would lead us to the same conclusion let us use the same approach here. We will start off by accepting the fact that when Usama Bin Laden came to hear of the Twin Tower bombings his first statement was that he had nothing to do with it. This was endorsed by Mullah Omar, Head of the Islamic Emirate of Afghanistan."

"But how can you believe them? They were not under oath in a courtroom." My tone was terse.

"Aah! But they are 'Fundamentalists'."

"Fundamentalists' are terrorists!" I retorted spontaneously.

Homes looked at me. His piercing gaze virtually cutting into me. I felt most uncomfortable. I suddenly realised I had made a generalisation, one which Homes did not approve of. I tried to redeem myself. "I know: I am stupid and ignorant. Kindly remove the veil of ignorance from my thick skull."

Homes laughed, a laugh I had heard many, many years ago. I also started laughing with him. The tension broke. We were both more relaxed now. He stood up, went to the shelves of books and brought out a copy of The Concise Oxford Dictionary, which he handed to me.

"Go ahead. Look up the word 'Fundamentalism'."

I found the word and read out: "fundamentalism n. 1. Strict maintenance of traditional Protestant beliefs such as the inerrancy of Scripture and literal acceptance of the creeds as fundamentals of Christianity. 2. strict maintenance of ancient or fundamental doctrines of any religion, esp. Islam."

This was most confusing. This was not my concept of 'Fundamentalism'. I looked up at Mr. Homes, my bewilderment showing on my face.

"You see, my dear Professor, 'Fundamentalism' is merely sticking strictly to what your religion tells you to do, without trying to rationalise those aspects that you fail to comprehend fully. When one speaks of a 'Fundamentalist', in its true meaning we are speaking about somebody who is prepared to stick firmly to his religion, in theory and practice, irrespective of the consequences. The early Christians, those who were prepared to become fodder for the lions rather than give up their faith, are examples of 'Fundamentalists'. When one refers to a Muslim who is a 'Fundamentalist' we are speaking about a Muslim who is steadfast with his five daily prayers, who fasts in the month of Ramadhan, who is precise about paying the poor tax, which is referred to as zakaat, and who will go for the pilgrimage to Makkah if he has the means. These are the fundamentals of the religion of Islam. This is what all Muslims are supposed to be doing. But the real 'Fundamentalist' is very particular about carrying out the other injunctions of the religion as well, eating the correct foods and abstaining from alcohol, identifying himself as a Muslim in his appearance by his dressing and even by the beard. There is no flirtations with the opposite sex - this is tantamount to adultery and fornication. Islam, does not allow the killing of innocent people. Non-combatants, even in a situation where there is a war, are not to be harmed. Where there is no war, to wantonly kill innocent people is an act of terrorism in the books of the 'Fundamentalist'. These are important points, which we will come to later. Speaking the absolute truth is another basic teaching, not only of Islam, but of most religions. The Shias have a rule called Taqiya, which allows them to tell lies to hide their true beliefs, but Usama Bin Laden and Mulla Omar, are Sunnis and not Shias. If they were to speak lies openly, they cease to be 'Fundamentalists'. The first people to disown them will be the very Islamic scholars who are now supporting them. You are speaking of telling the truth in a court of law under oath: the real 'Fundamentalist' considers himself to be under oath twenty-four hours a day in a Court that is the Highest Court in existence - the Court over which the presiding Judge is God!"

Homes went over to the bookshelves again and drew out another book which he handed to me. I looked at the title, "Dictionary of Islam", and it was authored by a certain T. P. Hughes. I looked up at Homes enquiringly.

"Thomas Patrick Hughes was an English clergyman who did missionary work in Peshawar for over twenty years. He compiled this dictionary, which was published in London in 1885. His bias against Islam is obvious to any reader. But what I want you to do, Professor, is look up the word 'Fundamentalism' or 'Fundamentalist' in his dictionary. I am sure that he would, despite his bias, give us the correct Islamic definition of the word."

I opened the book and looked at the relevant page. "'Fugitives... Fuls... Funeral.. al-Furqan... Fussilat... Future Life...' There is no such word here!"

Homes had a slight smile on his face. "Exactly, my dear Professor! You see, in Islam there is no such thing as a 'Fundamentalist'. You are either a practising Muslim, or else you are a sinning Muslim. Of course, there are different degrees in both categories: practisng or sinning to a small extent or to a large extent. There is no room for any other category of Muslim. 'Fundamentalism' and 'Fundamentalist' are words that we in the western world have invented for a non-existent concept as far as Islam is concerned. Seeing it is a word of our invention, we have now given it our own meanings, and by a very clever sleight of hand, have given the public the idea that 'Fundamentalism' is equivalent to 'Terrorism'! Unknown to you, you have been drawn into this deceit as well, that is why you blurted out automatically, 'Fundamentalists are terrorists!'"

Homes gave me time to digest this. I took the *Dictionary of Islam*, and replaced it on the shelf from where it was taken out. A quick glance at the other books on either side indicated that Homes had been investing in several books on Islam and the Muslims. As usual, there were no half measures with Homes. When he set his mind on something, he would attend to it thoroughly. From my past

experiences I knew that neither I nor anybody else, would be able to fault him on his argumentation.

I went and slunk back into my armchair, ruminating on what he had said. A whole new concept was opening up. I was not too sure where we were heading for, but in for a penny, in for a pound!

Homes continued, "We shall now proceed from there. If we take it that Usama Bin Laden is telling the truth and he was in no way responsible or connected to the Twin Towers bombing, we have to sift through the evidence to prove that this is true. For a moment, we will ignore the huge dossier and videotape that has been produced to 'prove' his guilt. Let us go to the 'crime' itself, the actual bombing of the towers. We will do so point by point:

"Firstly: Stated as a fact: According to the reports, three airplanes were involved in the commission of the atrocities. A fourth was shot down. Assumption: A group of nineteen Arabs hijacked the planes and committed the atrocities. Proof given: (i) A passport of one of the hijackers was found some distance from the towers after the bombings. (ii) The hijackers were traced to a pub where they were drinking and creating a fuss and carrying on with the barmaid. (iii) A car was found with a copy of the Qur'an and an instructor's manual on flying. (iv) A note was found in the baggage of one of the hijackers, apparently giving encouragement and instructions to his fellows. (v) Some of the hijackers were traced to some flying schools where they had flying lessons. What do you make of this in the light of what we have just discussed, Professor?"

I said: "Even the village idiot can see that this is all 'evidence' that has been planted to make it appear as if these were the people involved. This much I had deduced myself. However, in view of what you had just explained to me, the 'evidence' pointing to 'Fundamentalists' is absolutely puerile. The hijackers just do not fit in with what 'Fundamentalists' should be. These 'hijackers' were beardless, they were in a pub drinking, they were carrying on with the barmaid, and the most important fact which I can now see, innocent people were killed, some of whom were Muslims themselves – all of which

would go to show that they were not 'Fundamentalist' Muslims even if they were responsible."

"Excellent, my dear Professor! These are the obvious points that stick out like a sore thumb. There are other points that require more investigative qualities: the contents of the note is not what a Muslim would write; a Muslim would not leave his Qur'an in the car if he was going on a suicide mission – he would take it with him as a 'talisman'; anybody on a dangerous mission involving a group of nineteen men would be extra careful about security and would not in any way draw attention to himself; to drink alcohol and then go on a 'suicide' mission is the surest way of going to Hell! There are many other subtle and not-so-subtle points that make it quite clear that the *real* perpetrators were trying to lead the investigators in a direction that would not point to these patsies. Let us not dwell on them but go on to the next point.

"Fact: We are led to believe that the whole exercise of commandeering four airplanes within a specific time-period on the same day, and crashing them into their respective targets, which was carried out with the perfection of professionals, requiring tremendous experience and expertise in flying, at the same time avoiding detection and retaliation from the security systems, was done by members of this team of 19 men.

Assumption: A fugitive, Usama Bin Laden, being on the run from several countries, and whose affairs were being monitored by the most modern technology, to the extent that his phone calls to his mother were tapped, was hiding in some hide-out in the a remote country like Afghanistan – which is hardly a first-world country – engineered the whole process by some unexplained method. Nobody has ventured to explain how Usama Bin Laden managed to pick the pilots – at least two per plane, making eight expert flyers for the four planes – map out the whole operation in a country he has never been to, lay out plans for avoiding all the security arrangements against hijackers, imbue them and all the others with the idea of committing suicide, be cocksure that none would falter in his mission, keep the

whole operation a complete secret and then instruct them to leave around incriminating 'evidence' to connect the 'hijackers' to him. So, let us go to the experts and find out what they have to say. Expert pilots, who should know, have concluded that the novices who needed to check a flying manual at the last minute, could not have carried out the complicated procedures involved to any sort of effect, never mind the degree of perfection that was evident. Let me read out just one short excerpt from several articles by people who are more knowledgeable than me and you: 'The following is a summary of the near unanimous views of the assembled military and civilian pilots concerning certain critical factors relating to the WTC/Pentagon hit of 9/11: Troubling questions arose about the alleged pilot hijackers of the four aircraft, who were supposedly trained on Cessna aircraft over the past year at fields in Florida and Oklahoma. One General officer remarked, 'I seriously question whether these novices could have located a target dead on 200 miles removed from take-off point - much less controlled the flight and mastered the intricacies of IFR (instrument flight rules ) - and all accomplished in 45 minutes.' The extremely skilful manoeuvring of the three aircraft at near mach speeds, each unerringly hitting their targets, was superb. As one Air Force officer - a veteran of over 100 sorties over North Vietnam - explained, 'Those birds (commercial airliners) either had a crack fighter pilot in the left seat, or they were being manoeuvred by remote control.' I shall leave this article, and a few others, for you to scrutinise at your leisure at some other time.

"This is enough rebuttal for any English court to throw the case against Usama Bin Laden out of court. But there is much more. How did 19 'hijackers' who are also 'Fundamentalists' manage to pass through the security at the different airports? With photo identification necessary, the mind boggles that the security could be so lax as to allow nineteen 'hijackers' to slip through without anybody becoming suspicious. Take into account that these were supposed to be mainly Arabs. You may have been aware, my dear Professor, that there has been an insidious process of vilifying the Arabs over the past few decades, so that any Arab would be viewed

with suspicion. If the Arab is also a 'Fundamentalist' it stands to reason that close observers – like the ones who are supposed to man the security check-points – would have been able to detect at least some of the 'hijackers'. Nobody did. There have been no explanations from the security sections as to how the 19 hijackers slipped through, unless it was with inside help!

"Another question that arises: were there really nineteen Arab 'hijackers' on the planes as publicised in the news media?"

Mr. Homes reached for some pages on his desk. "Here is an enterprising individual who went through the passenger lists and found something very strange: The names of the 'hijackers' did not appear on the original passenger lists but only came to appear on them some three days later! Then we come to know that at least four of the 'hijackers' who were supposed to have died in the crashes, are still alive. One 'hijacker', it turns out, was somebody who had died in a crash a year earlier!"

At this point my mind was reeling. It was a bit too much for me to absorb all at once. Fortunately the buzzer of the intercom rang, signalling that supper was being served. This was a welcome break.

# Chapter 4: Arriving at conclusions

It was an hour or so later that we were back in the study. Supper was fantastic – some Pakistani dishes that had been prepared, I presume, especially on the occasion of my visit. Our conversation over supper was light – mainly reminiscing over the past and the cases we had worked on together. Now, back in the study, Homes went to slump in his armchair. He took his pipe and puffed away. I looked at him rather quizzically – he had forgotten to put any tobacco in his pipe. He saw my glance and gave a short laugh. "All your preaching finally paid off, Professor. I left off smoking donkey years ago. But it does help to pull at my old pipe when the urge comes on again, even though it is empty. I have changed my ways considerably and have become a teetotaller. I do not regret a bit of it. My old smoking habits have left me with a legacy – I am prone to mild episodes of

bronchitis." Homes smiled wryly at his pipe, gave a few more puffs on it and then set it aside. This explained the fact that there was no tobacco pouch to be seen. Yes, I had also noticed that there were no signs of any alcohol anywhere – no bottles, no wine glasses.

"Where were we? Oh, yes – we were noting down facts and assumptions. Here is another one for you, Professor: Fact: The Twin Towers collapsed. Assumption: the planes caused the collapse. Truth: The collapse was caused by explosives placed strategically in the towers."

"That is bit farfetched, Homes."

Homes stretched out for some more papers. "If you care to study these reports, Professor, you will find the view of the experts again. People present at the scene heard explosions. During the tragedy, with the loss of lives and the tremendous damage to the huge towers, not much attention was given to these explosions. But the immediate thoughts of demolition experts who saw the collapse were that these were implosions like the implosions of buildings that are being demolished by construction companies. It is difficult to say who put forward the theory that the steel beams melting from the heat of the burning fuel of the planes, caused the towers to collapse, but scientists have scuttled this theory. You may read the whole article at your leisure, but the main points are as follows: the heat generated by the fuel would not be sufficient to melt the steel beams; any fire would be guickly doused by the sprinkler systems that all these high-rise buildings must compulsorily have; the tower that was hit first should have been the first to collapse, that is if the improbable happened and the steel was weakened - it did not: the second tower collapsed first when it should have collapsed after the first tower; the fuel that spilled out when the second plane hit the second tower, spilled out outside the tower, as can be seen by the fireball – this, together with the activation of the sprinkler systems, would have made it extremely unlikely that any great heat was generated, definitely not enough to melt the steel beams; even if there was some weakening of the steel, the side of the tower should have crumpled and the top floors would then have fallen sideways and not straight down; the beams in the floors were constructed in such a way as to avoid a collapse of only one section of the floor; with the towers collapsing due to the beams giving in, large chunks of concrete should have been the end-result. Yet, what was left was powdered cement, more the result of explosives. This article gives you details on melting points of steel and other details."

The way that Homes explained it, made complete sense. Yes, it was strange how the towers collapsed straight down and not sideways, as one would expect when one is felling a tree.

Homes stretched out and handed me some photographs. "What do you see here?"

I looked at the photographs. These were scenes we had been shown over and over again: There were a number of frames depicting how the one airplane had hit the south tower with the tower subsequently collapsing. "Yes, it does look like an implosion." "What else can you see?" I looked again. I could not fathom what Homes was referring to. "The building is not falling on the side of the impact of the plane, as one would have expected," I ventured again. Homes was not satisfied. "What else do you notice?" I looked again and again at the frames. "No, I do not see anything else that I could comment on." Homes took a pencil and pointed to the first picture. "Do you see the plane heading for the tower?" I nodded my head. The plane was shown on the left side of the tower just before impact. "Now look here." Homes pointed to the opposite side of the tower. "Here, before the impact of the plane, you will notice smoke billowing out from the tower on the opposite side. Not only that, but the smoke is billowing out some four stories below the point of the impending impact of the plane. In other words, some device had already gone off inside the tower, four floors below the point of the impact of the plane, on the opposite side, causing smoke to be emitted long before the actual impact." Homes was absolutely correct! Now that he had pointed it out, I was amazed that I did not notice it myself. Could you beat that! I was at a loss for words as I kept on staring at the

picture and trying to grapple with this new finding.

Homes continued, "We now have additional, unexplained aspects: Who placed explosives in the towers? Who had access to the buildings? Who could circumvent the security in the buildings? Who had enough engineering knowledge to know where to place the explosives? Who set the timing of the explosives? How was it possible to coordinate the explosions with the impact of the planes? Who knew that the planes would be making their appearance and would be hitting the tower? If this tower was imploded with explosives, it is reasonable to assume that the other tower was also brought down by this method. So, again, who had such a large force of undetected experts who could carry out such an intricate and complicated operation without any botch ups? Do you think that Usama Bin Laden could have carried this out from his hut or cave in Afghanistan?"

"Ouch," I said. "You don't have to rub salt into the wound." Homes smiled apologetically, "Sorry. I did not mean to. Let us look at some of the other points: Was this connected to the Oklahoma bombing by Mc Veigh? Was it connected to the bombings of the American embassies? Were the same forces behind these and were they setting up Usama Bin Laden from then already?

"I forgot to mention a number of other points when we were dealing with the 'hijacking' of the planes. No adequate answers have been given for a number of other mystifying facts: What happened to the fire-proof black boxes? Why did the pilots not alert the control tower that they were being hijacked? Why could the crew not overcome the 'hijackers' who were not armed with firearms, taking into account that all the male, and maybe female, passengers would have been only too willing to help? That other article has the statements of experienced pilots as to what they would have done in a similar situation: they would have brought the planes down safely, albeit still being threatened by the 'hijackers'. Many have postulated that 'Global Hawk' technology was used to take control of the planes and smash them into the towers and the Pentagon. Most people

are unaware of this latest technological development. What the Americans appear to have perfected is the capability to take over the controls of a plane while it is flying, by overriding the control that the pilot has. This had been developed to enable the control-tower to take control of the plane in case of actual hijackings. In this case, those responsible for the attack on the towers used the same control mechanisms to wrest control from the actual pilots and direct the planes to their fateful targets. This explanation would definitely tie up all the loose knots, and answer many of the questions hanging over these issues.

"Then there is also the financial aspect: trading of stock on the stock exchange. Seeing that this has no direct implication on the WTC bombing, but only an indirect one, we shall leave that out of our discussion.

"But it is getting late and you must be tired. I suggest that we call it a night. Would you like to have anything before retiring, Professor?" I respectfully declined his kind offer. Indeed, I was feeling a bit tired, and I needed time to think over what Homes had said. I took

tired, and I needed time to think over what Homes had said. I took up Homes' offer of retiring. "May I take these papers with me?"

"Definitely, you may. There is a lot of material there. I should warn you not to get bogged down by reading everything. For the time being, stick to the articles dealing with the WTC bombings and leave out the articles dealing with Afghanistan and the situation in the Middle East. God willing, we will deal with those topics later, if you so wish. Oh, yes, Professor, one more thing: as you are on holiday here, do not worry about getting up early. Breakfast will be served whenever you are ready."

I took up the batch of papers and followed Homes to the room made ready for me. Bidding me good night, he left me to myself. I made ready for bed and switched on the bedside lamp. May as well go through some of these papers, I thought to myself, as I climbed into bed. With the briefing given to me by Homes, I found the articles absolutely fascinating. The picture emerging was completely different from what we had seen in the news media. There were

articles by a broad spectrum of experts from different countries and backgrounds. Each article fascinating in the way it analysed different aspects of the attack on the WTC and the killing of innocent people. The final picture was completely different from the one the news media portrayed. Why was this? Also, who was actually behind the attacks? Why was it that people in high positions, people who should have seen this other picture, have said nothing and have done nothing and have stuck to the distorted views presented by the news media? These and many other questions remained unanswered. I made a mental note to ask Homes about it in the morning. What time was it? Good heavens, it was going on for one o'clock! I switched off the bed lamp and went to sleep.

It was past nine o'clock when my eyes opened in the morning. Homes had reassured me to take my time, but, then too, I was keen to see again how his amazing mental faculties operated to unravel some more of the mysteries surrounding the attack on the Twin Towers and the Pentagon.

When I went into the study, Homes was busy on the Internet, but he switched it off and led me to the dining room for breakfast. Back in the study, after I had eaten breakfast – Homes had apparently had his breakfast much earlier – Homes started the discussion. "I am certain the question that is now vexing you is who could have done such a monstrous thing." I again had that strange feeling that Homes could read my thoughts. "I have to disappoint you by telling you that I do not know. It may be that we will never know."

"Why do you say that?"

"It stands to reason, my dear Professor. If Usama Bin Laden was the culprit – and we have shown that he is not, but, nevertheless, for argument's sake, let us take it that he was responsible – then there would have been a definite motive and objective. He would have immediately taken responsibility and credit for what is admittedly a colossal operation. There would have been certain claims, like, 'If you Americans do not leave Arabia, I will do it again,' or something similar. He has not made any such demands. In other words, if he was

responsible, it was a senseless exercise in that he is not any nearer to his stated objectives of wanting the Americans out of Arabia and wanting the Palestinians to have their land back. In fact, the response was predictable in that there is a greater onslaught on Islam and the Muslims. So, whoever is responsible, knew that it was not necessary for him and the group that he represents, to make any demands. Why? Because he and his group realise that the people on whom the attack was made - I am not speaking of the innocents that died, but people in higher circles – got the message without telling them who it was. It sounds all very mysterious, and it is so. Who this group is, there are various theories that various people have put forward. You can take your pick: the list consists of Mossad, the Illuminati, rogue elements in the government, Right-wingers, the Russians, and so forth. The tracks of the perpetrators are so well concealed that it would be useless to look for any definite proof of their involvement. Not only that, it would be downright foolish to even try."

Homes would not make such grave statements if he did not mean them. This I knew from my past experiences when working with him. He continued, "It is an old maxim of mine: when you have excluded the impossible, whatever remains, however improbable, must be the truth. We have noted that, from the evidence in front of us, that it was impossible for Usama Bin Laden to have carried out such a complicated, integrated, military-type exercise from his hut or cave in Afghanistan. Whatever remains, must be the truth, even though we may not have any evidence to prove it."

"So, are we just to sit back and do nothing?"

# Chapter 5: Delving into the concept of Fundamentalsm

Homes smiled. "Next question, please."

I had to laugh. "Alright. You had said that there are no such Muslims as 'Fundamentalists' – that Muslims are either practising Muslims, or sinning Muslims. But, you will agree with me that certain Muslims may be fanatics."

 $\hbox{``Good thinking, Professor! That is an excellent observation. Yes, there}\\$ 

are fanatics. However, to avoid any misconceptions, the perspective that I have is as follows: A major problem that the Muslims face is that of disunity in their ranks. The bane of the Muslim community is that there are just too many factions. The problem lies in that each faction believes that it is on the Right Path and treading the Middle Path, not being at either extreme. Yet, there is no consensus as to what the Middle Path is. On the one hand you will find that a Muslim barely carrying out the basics that the religion demands of him, still considers himself to be on the Middle Path. This is very true of those Muslims living in western countries. One even finds Muslims openly admitting to drinking alcohol and not being regular with their five daily prayers, but consider themselves to be 'moderates'. At the other extreme one will find Muslims being so particular over every facet of the religion and wish to pattern their lives on that of the Prophet (peace and salutations be on him), that they ignore the practicalities facing those who live in industrialised First World countries. These are two extremes. I have still not been able to define what would be 'fanaticism' in absolute terms. This will depend on a number of intricate factors and will only be able to be figured out by those who have a very in-depth knowledge of the religion."

"Would you not say that the 'Fundamentalist' is a fanatic? Just observe how they oppress their womenfolk, getting them to cover their whole body and even cover their faces. They also deprive them of education."

"Tut, tut, my dear Professor. You have been watching too many CNN programmes! Also, you seem to have a very short memory. Did our English ladies also not dress modestly, just like them? Did they not wear long dresses that covered their ankles as well? Did they also not cover their hair, not with a scarf but by wearing a hat? Did they also not wear a veil, as well as wear gloves, covering their faces and even their hands? In the chronicles of some famous cases it has been stated as such. Kate Whitney in the case of *The Man With The Twisted Lip*, wore a veil. Concerning Helen Stoner in *The Adventure* 

Of The Speckled Band, you will read, 'A lady dressed in black and heavily veiled...' In our young days it was the norm rather than the exception for women to be veiled. Modesty was a by-word."

"The word is 'prudish'," I interjected.

Homes smiled, "Call it what you like, but there are some inner aspects to it that actually escaped most people, and especially our social and political scientists and leaders. In the Victorian Age, what you term as the 'prudish' age, the British Empire was such that it was said that the sun does not set on the British Empire." Homes sat up and leaned forward in an animated manner. "Professor, if you were to study the causes for the rise and fall of nations, whether it was the Roman Empire, as Gibbons has so well described, or any other empire, you will see a definite relationship with the morés of the nation and their rise and fall. The greater the modesty and the greater the chastity of the men and women, the greater the might of the nation. Together with justice and compassion, these nations developed into mighty empires. When these qualities disappeared, these very empires ceased to exist, except in the pages of books and the scientific papers of archaeological research journals. Take our own British Empire. It grew from the qualities that I have just mentioned. As the chastity and modesty of the people disappeared and was replaced by the present hedonistic culture, and as racial arrogance and greed formed the bases of our foreign policies, so too, the Empire dwindled and vanished. At the moment, we stand disgraced as at no time before, having to depend on the services of the very people from our previous colonies to provide us with essential medical and other services. We are now obligated to them. Not only that, on the world stage we have become the handmaiden of our previous colony, America, which now dictates to us in the same way that it did to the black slaves it once had." Homes' eyes were burning bright and his words were full of passion. He lapsed into silence.

I thought over what he had said. Again, I could not fault him on what he had said. These were the very thoughts that had passed

through my mind ages ago, and I had pushed them aside and gone along with the crowd in my attitudes and thinking. It is easier swimming with the current than against it.

Homes went over to the bookshelves and took out a book. Holding it in his hand, he said, "Your criticism – and the criticism of others – that the 'Fundamentalists' are against educating their womenfolk, is completely baseless. You, as most of us, refer to western secular education. How many women belonging to other cultures and religions are also deprived of a western secular education? Why are they not vilified? Of course, there is another point: we, in the western world, consider only western education to be education. Anything other has to be denigrated. This is because of our innate Caucasoid arrogance!

"However, let us look at it objectively. Many books exist on the subject. This one which I have with me, is a manual written for Muslim women, written at the turn of the last century, by a great Muslim reformer in the Indian subcontinent. It is Ashraf Ali Thanwi's Behisti Zewar. It is a complete course for educating Muslim women, not only as far as their religious practices are concerned, but also teaching them many other aspects related to living a wholesome life. There are chapters on hygiene and health of a very high standard, rearing of children, social etiquettes, running a household - and includes recipes for some common food items, and even instructions on how to manufacture household soap. Also included are chapters on character building, trade and transactions, death and burial. Many other topics are also included in it. It has been a major work in the rejuvenation of the Muslims in the Indian subcontinent and other places where it has been studied. The reason why I quote this book is that it has been studied by the orientalists as well. The orientalist, Barbara D Metcalfe, has written glowingly about this book. She has, in fact, translated portions of it and published the translation under the title of 'Perfecting Women'. Professor, any woman who is educated to the extent that is envisaged in this book would not only be a prudent housewife but also a good mother. Her

household would be a stable one. Society would be rid of many of the social ills that we are facing in this age, especially the high rate of divorce and all the ills associated with broken homes.

"It is a pertinent teaching of the Muslims that it is obligatory for Muslim men and women to seek knowledge. Most probably, together with most other people, you consider 'education' to be 'secular education', where women are trained to compete with men in the open market. Here too, the Muslims have allowed their womenfolk to be trained in certain fields, though not all, with certain provisos that allow for women and men to be taught in separate institutions. Again, this is the very system we had in our youth. When you say that Muslim women are oppressed, you are merely re-iterating the comments you have overheard in the news media. Anybody who has studied the conditions of Muslim women in an unbiased manner, would agree that it is the western women who are enslaved to the Hedonistic culture that is rampant throughout the world in this point in time, and Muslim women are the real free ones."

"I fail to understand that."

"Let me explain. Western women are slaves to fashion. Those who do not keep up with fashion are the ones looked upon as odd. Those who are working are bound by fixed hours and fixed wages, under the domination of the boss. An outsider controls her activities in the workplace. The husband has no say in the matter. Muslim women don their cloaks when leaving their homes and are free of the dictates of fashion in their dressing. They work as housewives in their homes, being mistresses of their homes, without having to answer to anybody else except their husbands. Is this not how it was with us in the old days before the curse of the women's 'liberation' brought in the libertine values we are seeing now? In the old days, the home was the Englishman's castle - a fortress which protected him and his family. Nowadays the home is his prison - he is left to change the baby's diapers and prepare the milk-feed while his career wife flies all over the world attending various conferences as secretary to her boss!"

## Chapter 6: Tying up some loose ends

Homes replaced the book in the shelf and went to sit in the armchair again. "We seem to be drifting from the WTC bombings. Once we have cleared up this aspect, all other pieces in this puzzle will fall into place. Is there any aspect of the WTC bombings still not clear?"

I thought over the whole affair again in the light of what Homes had uncovered – the explanations that he had given and the articles that I had perused the night before. There were still many points that I found I could not tie up with what Homes had said, though, in the main, what he had said was logical and sensible and, as stated before, he had presented arguments that could not be faulted.

"Yes, we were shown time and again how the Palestinians rejoiced over the tragedy in New York. This is absolutely cruel and sadistic – dancing and merrymaking at the death of innocent people."

"Yes, that scene, in juxtaposition to the WTC bombings, was definitely most cruel. The cruelty in this situation, my dear Professor, is the fact that the scenes of celebrations had nothing to do with the WTC bombings, but were scenes from some footage taken in 1991, and surreptitiously shown now to create the very impression that you and millions of others have now embedded in your minds."

"What do you mean?" I was incredulous.

Drawing out an article, Homes read out,

"September 14, 2001 Carvalho on CNN Footage: There's an important point in the power of press, specifically the power of CNN. All around the world we are subjected to 3 or 4 huge news distributors, and one of them – as you well know – is CNN. Very well, I guess all of you have been seeing (just as I've been) images from this company. In particular, one set of images caught my attention: the Palestinians celebrating the bombing, out on the streets, eating some cake and making funny faces for the camera. Well, THOSE IMAGES WERE SHOT BACK IN 1991!!! Those are images of Palestinians celebrating the invasion of Kuwait! It's simply unacceptable that a super-power of communications as CNN uses images which do not correspond to the reality in talking about so serious an issue. A teacher of

mine, here in Brazil, has videotapes recorded in 1991, with the very same images; he's been sending emails to CNN, Globo (the major TV network in Brazil) and newspapers, denouncing what I myself classify as a crime against the public opinion... Marcio A. V. Carvalho State University of Campinas – Brazil.' Russell Grossman, Head of Internal Communication, BBC has confirmed 'At the BBC here, we have these footage on videotapes recorded in 1991, with the very same images."

I was flabbergasted! I took the page from Homes and read it myself, a second and a third time. It was unbelievable. But then, so many other aspects have been shown to be different from what we, the ordinary men in the street, have been led to believe. "But the masses do not know about this!"

"My dear Professor, I will repeat something you must have heard before, 'What do the public, the great unobservant public, who could hardly tell a weaver by his tooth or a compositor by his left thumb, care about the finer shades of analysis and deduction?" This applies not only to this incident, but to this whole affair of the bombings. Those who were observant, noticed that the shadows appearing in this footage showed that the film was taken around midday, whereas, from the difference in the time zones between America and Palestine, the time in Palestine would actually have been several hours later."

"What game is this that is being played?"

"It is no game, but we will come to that just now. What is important to remember is that the whole operation has been carefully staged and the blame put on Usama Bin Laden. Is there any other aspect still worrying you?"

"It appears that we have been led on a wild goose chase. And it has been done very cleverly."

"Exactly! This is a subject on its own. It is called mind-control, or brain-washing. In modern terminology it is called PSYOPS. Here is an excellent article spelling it out in detail. As a medical man, you will appreciate the techniques employed better than others. And here I will rest my case." Homes again pulled out another document which he handed to me.

The article was lengthy and it took me long to read. When I had read it through I felt completely hollow inside. Can you imagine a person, having just invested a sizable fortune in a business project, wakes up the next day and sees in the newspaper headlines that the very same company had gone bust and the directors have fled with the assets of the company, leaving the investors to cry over their losses? Maybe a better example would be that of a woman who is being chased by some rogue and runs for protection to a place of safety, only to be gang-raped by the men running the place of safety.

"Tea is ready." I started. I had not seen Homes leave the study and return with a tray, cups, tea, milk and sugar, and some biscuits. "Life has to carry on, my dear Professor." How uncanny his assessment of me was! Yes, life has to carry on. The perspectives have changed, though. Yes, I would agree completely with the statement that things will never be the same after September the eleventh.

We drank our tea in silence. After we had our tea. Homes said. "Seeing that you are a medical man, may I ask you something?" "Go ahead. If I can I shall surely answer to the best of my abilities." "What do you make of the anthrax scare?" I thought a little while before I answered. "The whole episode falls into the category of biological warfare. No man in the street could lay his hands on such sample spores. That it involves some scientist is fairly obvious. The question is: Which country has the scientific capabilities to produce such samples? Other questions that would follow: Is it related to the New York and Washington attacks? What is to be gained by this attack - if one may call it that - on the victims that were chosen for the attack? Again, nobody has come forward to claim responsibility. Unfortunately, I do not have the answers to these questions. Iraq has been said to be one such country that has the capabilities of such a high-tech operation. Would Saddam Hoosain be trying to get even with America? I just don't know."

Homes had been listening attentively. "Yes, there are all these unanswered questions. There is an analysis here that I found very interesting. You may read it at your leisure. It has a whole lot of

technical details which would make more sense to you than to me. What is of interest to me are the following points. Let me quote:

- 1) "There was only one week between Sept. 11 and Sept. 18, when the first two letters (and probably another letter, never found, to AMI) were postmarked. This suggests that the anthrax was already in hand, and the attack largely planned, before Sept. 11.'
- 2) 'An anonymous letter was sent to police, apparently in September, accusing an Egyptian-born American scientist who had been laid off by USAMRIID of being a terrorist. The FBI questioned and released the accused scientist as innocent. Details of the letter have not been released. Could this letter have been sent by the perpetrator (who would likely have known about the USAMRIID layoffs) to cover his traces?'
- 3) 'Expert analysts for the FBI believe that the letters were written by a Westerner, not a Middle Easterner or Muslim, although the text was clearly intended to imply the latter.'

"What I find of interest here is the similarities with the attacks of the 11th September: Foreknowledge of the September attacks and preparation in advance; trying to place the blame on Muslims; an anonymous letter making it appear that the responsible person was of Middle East origin; not claiming responsibility – something that you have also noticed; panicking the public. I only mention these points to strengthen my case that Usama Bin Laden is not guilty. If he was guilty of the September 11 attacks, then it becomes imperative that we accept that he was responsible for the anthrax attacks as well. The similarities that I have pointed out must lead one to the conclusion that the perpetrators of both the attacks were linked at some level, though not necessarily at the lower levels. Most probably at some very high level.

"Read the article at your leisure."

I took the article from Homes and quickly glanced through the different headings briefly. Agin, when retiriing for the night, I had much to go through. Some of the articles I had to read and re-read before I could grasp the reality and be able to soift out the truth from the falsehood.

### Chapter 7: Back home: Final assessment and introspection

I am now back at home in London. Reading through what I have written above, I am wondering whether I should continue to describe the rest of my stay with Homes. I have read the article on brain-washing again. This marked a turning point in my stay with Mr. Homes. Altogether, I spent three days with him - three most extraordinary days, to say the least! I must admit that I would not be able to note down all that we discussed in those three days. After discussing the WTC bombings our discussions went on to the attack on Afghanistan. Of course, the situation in the Middle East also came in for discussion. This article would become too lengthy were I to note down everything that we discussed. Maybe, in the future, God willing, I may get around to writing about Afghanistan as well. For the time being, I have restricted myself to what I have already noted. Much of the material giving deeper analyses is to be found in the Internet. I was pleasantly surprised to see how many people are having a closer look at what is happening in the world today. With many people pondering over the 11th September event it is surprising to see the amount of material that has emerged with their research. It is not possible to include even a fraction of the material here. For detailed articles, the references listed should be consulted.

Needless to say, my views about the bombings have changed dramatically. Taking into account a factual, unemotional analysis of the bombings, it is quite plain that Usama Bin Laden is not responsible. There is no evidence to support the accusation that his Al-Qaeda network carried out the attack. The dossier presented by our Prime Minister, Blair, is so puerile that one does not have to be a lawyer to realise that whoever had drawn it up was actually scraping the barrel to work up a case against him. As somebody very appropriately remarked that it is easier to start a war than it is to convict a criminal for theft.

Who is responsible for the bombings on the 11th September? As

Homes had said, we may never know, as Homes had remarked. One may rest the case against Usama Bin Laden here. The jury finds him not guilty. However, I will not have done full justice to this epistle if I did not describe one other important matter.

It was on the third day of my stay with Homes, that I said to him, "Yes, I am now thoroughly convinced of the fact that we have been fed a pack of lies. The picture that emerges is quite sinister. Good is being portrayed as evil, and evil is being portrayed as good. Again I must pose the question: What do we do?"

This time Homes did not brush the question aside. His face took on a seriousness I had very rarely seen. "What you say is quite correct. Good is definitely being portrayed as evil, and evil as good. This is not anything new – what is new is the large scale on which it is being done. It is being done on a global level. Unfortunately, the unthinking masses have been prey to the brain-washing. Looking at it from another angle, one could say the battle between the forces of good and the forces of evil is not a new one, but has been in progress since the very first existence of Man on this planet. Another maxim to remember is that morality and righteousness will always prevail in the end, even though immorality and sinfulness may appear to have the upper hand at certain moments.

"What does one do? The answer is simple in its logical conclusion. One sides with good against evil. Bush has laid down the gauntlet – either we are with him or we are against him. The decision is not a difficult one for anybody who has a conscience. What he stands for is definitely evil. Despite all his pious statements, it is apparent to everyone that he has accused an innocent person of a grave crime, knowing quite well that he is not responsible. He has gone to war against a nation that has been minding its own business, its only 'crime' being that it gave asylum to a fellow religionist against the victimisation of a powerful nation. Many innocent people in Afghanistan have been killed on the pretext of wiping out 'terrorism', more than were killed in the WTC bombings. Besides this gross injustice, Bush also stands as a figurehead for a country which has

done away with all forms of decency. The incongruity is quite stark: politicians kiss babies at election times but have no compunction of mutilating them and destroying them in their mothers' wombs by sanctioning laws on abortion; much fuss is made of abuse of women but, relatively, a blind eye is turned to the large scale rape of women and demeaning them by legalising prostitution; and so forth. One can list endless examples of the collapse of the American society.

"In answer to your question as what to do, I will repeat: the time has come to align oneself with good. Who is opposing the evil that is rampant, not only in America, but throughout the four corners of the world? The Christians have long ago thrown in the towel. The Jews are too busy with their own problems. Other religions, like Hinduism, have preached a message of peace, but this has not halted the spread of evil. You may criticise me for what I am about to say – I would welcome your objective criticism – but I must state quite emphatically and quite categorically that it is only Islam and Muslims who are in a position to stop the rot."

"That requires time to think about. You are most probably right in your assessment. Following your argument to its logical conclusion, would I be correct in saying that the only road open is to enter the fold of Islam and become a Muslim?"

"Yes. For anyone with a conscience – anyone who is aware of what is happening in the world today, what is happening in society at the local level and the international level – has no option but to become a Muslim. This is a conclusion people of conscience have reached. We are thus witnessing a phenomenon that, even in the face of the propaganda to demonise Islam, large numbers of people all over the world are reverting to Islam. Mind you, interestingly enough, a large proportion of these are women."

I looked intently at Homes as I posed my next question. Instinctively I already knew the answer – the clues had been given to me already: giving up smoking and drinking, the appearance of the beard, the books on Islam. But, I had to hear it from his lips directly. "Homes, have you become a Muslim?"

Homes looked me straight in the eye. The look was not apologetic, it was not challenging – it was a look filled with serenity. His eyes were like a window opeing into a world filled with serenity. His soul was exposed, a soul that had broken loose from the fetters of ignorance and falsehood and had entered a realm of submission to the Divine Presence. "Yes, I have," is all he said.

In this simple answer, with no frills, no apologies, non-defensive, he stated something that he firmly believed in. There was such a conviction to these words, that any comment from my side would have been utterly childish.

Now, back home, as I write this, there is a last question that I have to answer, "Professor, what is the road you are going to take...?"



#### **END NOTES**

As the amount of information available is voluminous, only two articles are reproduced here, the interview with Usama Bin Laden and the article by Craig Roberts. Websites are listed for anybody interested in finding out more. Some of the websites that were accessed initially, may not still be available. However, there is sufficinet information in the subsequest research to make up for this. Additionally, these sites will have links to other sites providing more information.

## Interview with Usama Bin Laden

The Al-Qa'idah group had nothing to do with the 11 Septemberattacks.http://www.khilafah.com/1421/category.php?DocumentID'2392&TagID'2.

The following is the text of an interview conducted by a special correspondent, published by Pakistani newspaper, Ummat, on 28 September, place and date of interview not given. The first paragraph is Ummat's introduction.

Kabul: Prominent Arab mojahed [holy warrior] Usamah Bin-Ladin has said

that he or his al-Qa'idah group has nothing to do with the 11 September suicidal attacks in Washington and New York. He said the US government should find the attackers within the country. In an exclusive interview with daily Ummat, he said these attacks could be the act of those who are part of the American system and are rebelling against it and working for some other system. Or, Usamah said, this could be the act of those who want to make the current century a century of conflict between Islam and Christianity. Or, the American Jews, who are opposed to President Bush ever since the Florida elections, might be the masterminds of this act. There is also a great possibility of the involvement of US intelligence agencies, which need billions of dollars' worth of funds every year. He said there is a government within the government in the United States. The secret agencies, he said, should be asked as to who are behind the attacks. Usamah said support for attack on Afghanistan was a matter of need for some Muslim countries and compulsion for others. However, he said, he was thankful to the courageous people of Pakistan who erected a bulwark before the wrong forces. He added that the Islamic world was attaching great expectations with Pakistan and, in time of need, we will protect this bulwark by sacrificing of lives. Following is the interview in full detail.

**Ummat:** You have been accused of involvement in the attacks in New York and Washington. What do you want to say about this? If you are not involved, who might be?

Usamah: In the name of Allah, the most beneficent, the most merciful. Praise be to Allah, Who is the creator of the whole universe and Who Made the earth as an abode for peace, for the whole mankind. Allah is the Sustainer, who sent Prophet Muhammad for our guidance. I am thankful to The Ummat Group of Publications, which gave me the opportunity to convey my viewpoint to the people, particularly the valiant and Momin [true Muslim] people of Pakistan who refused to believe in the lies of the demon. I have already said that I am not involved in the 11 September attacks in the United States. As a Muslim, I try my best to avoid telling a lie. I had no knowledge of these attacks, nor do I consider the killing of innocent women, children, and other humans as an appreciable act. Islam strictly forbids causing harm to innocent women, children, and other people.

Such a practice is forbidden ever in the course of a battle. It is the United States, which is perpetrating every maltreatment on women, children, and common people of other faiths, particularly the followers of Islam. All that is going on in Palestine for the last 11 months is sufficient to call the wrath of God upon the United States and Israel. There is also a warning for those Muslim countries, which witnessed all these as a silent spectator. What had earlier been done to the innocent people of Iraq, Chechnya, and Bosnia? Only one conclusion could be derived from the indifference of the United States and the West to these acts of terror and the patronage of the tyrants by these powers that America is an anti-Islamic power and it is patronizing the anti-Islamic forces. Its friendship with the Muslim countries is just a show, rather deceit. By enticing or intimidating these countries, the United States is forcing them to play a role of its choice. Put a glance all around and you will see that the slaves of the United States are either rulers or enemies [of Muslims]. The US has no friends, nor does it want to keep any because the prerequisite of friendship is to come to the level of the friend or consider him at par with you. America does not want to see anyone equal to it. It expects slavery from others. Therefore, other countries are either its slaves or subordinates. However, our case is different. We have pledged slavery to God Almighty alone and after this pledge there is no possibility to become the slave of someone else. If we do that, it will be disregardful to both our Sustainer and his fellow beings. Most of the world nations upholding their freedom are the religious ones, which are the enemies of United States, or the latter itself considers them as its enemies. Or the countries which do not agree to become its slaves, such as China, Iran, Libya, Cuba, Syria, and the former Russia [as received]. Whoever committed the act of 11 September are not the friends of the American people. I have already said that we are against the American system, not against its people, whereas in these attacks, the common American people have been killed. According to my information, the death toll is much higher than what the US government has stated. But the Bush administration does not want the panic to spread. The United States should try to trace the perpetrators of these attacks within itself; the people who are a part of the US system, but are dissenting against it. Or those who are working for some other system; persons who want

to make the present century as a century of conflict between Islam and Christianity so that their own civilization, nation, country, or ideology could survive. They can be any one, from Russia to Israel and from India to Serbia. *In the US itself, there are dozens of well-organized and well-equipped groups,* which are capable of causing a large-scale destruction. Then you cannot forget the American Jews, who are annoyed with President Bush ever since the elections in Florida and want to avenge him. Then there are intelligence agencies in the US, which require billions of dollars' worth of funds from the Congress and the government every year. This [funding issue] was not a big problem till the existence of the former Soviet Union but after that the budget of these agencies has been in danger. They needed an enemy. So, they first started propaganda against Usamah and Taleban and then this incident happened. You see, the Bush administration approved a budget of 40 bn dollars. Where will this huge amount go? It will be provided to the same agencies, which need huge funds and want to exert their importance. Now they will spend the money for their expansion and for increasing their importance. I will give you an example. Drug smugglers from all over the world are in contact with the US secret agencies. These agencies do not want to eradicate narcotics cultivation and trafficking because their importance will be diminished. The people in the US Drug Enforcement Department are encouraging drug trade so that they could show performance and get millions of dollars' worth of budget. General Noriega was made a drug baron by the CIA and, in need, he was made a scapegoat. In the same way, whether it is President Bush or any other US president, they cannot bring Israel to justice for its human rights abuses or to hold it accountable for such crimes. What is this? Is it not that there exists a government within the government in the United Sates? That secret government must be asked as to who made the attacks.

**Ummat**: A number of world countries have joined the call of the United States for launching an attack on Afghanistan. These also include a number of Muslim countries. Will Al-Qa'idah declare a jihad against these countries as well?

**Usamah:** I must say that my duty is just to awaken the Muslims; to tell them as to what is good for them and what is not. What does Islam says

and what the enemies of Islam want? Al-Qa'idah was set up to wage a jihad against infidelity, particularly to encounter the onslaught of the infidel countries against the Islamic states. Jihad is the sixth undeclared element of Islam. [The first five being the basic holy words of Islam, prayers, fast, pilgrimage to Mecca, and giving alms | Every anti-Islamic person is afraid of it. Al-Qa'idah wants to keep this element alive and active and make it part of the daily life of the Muslims. It wants to give it the status of worship. We are not against any Islamic country nor do we consider a war against an Islamic country as jihad. We are in favour of armed jihad only against those infidel countries, which are killing innocent Muslim men, women, and children just because they are Muslims. Supporting the US act is the need of some Muslim countries and the compulsion of others. However, they should think as to what will remain of their religious and moral position if they support the attack of the Christians and the Jews on a Muslim country like Afghanistan. The orders of Islamic shariah [jurisprudence] for such individuals, organizations, and countries are clear and all the scholars of the Muslim brotherhood are unanimous on them. We will do the same, which is being ordered by the Amir al-Momenin [the commander of the faithful] Mola Omar and the Islamic scholars. The hearts of the people of Muslim countries are beating with the call of jihad. We are grateful to them.

**Ummat**: The losses caused in the attacks in New York and Washington have proved that giving an economic blow to the US is not too difficult. US experts admit that a few more such attacks can bring down the American economy. Why is al-Qa'idah not targeting their economic pillars?

Usamah: I have already said that we are not hostile to the United States. We are against the system, which makes other nations slaves of the United States, or forces them to mortgage their political and economic freedom. This system is totally in control of the American Jews, whose first priority is Israel, not the United States. It is simply that the American people are themselves the slaves of the Jews and are forced to live according to the principles and laws laid by them. So, the punishment should reach Israel. In fact, it is Israel, which is giving a blood bath to innocent Muslims and the US is not uttering a single word.

Ummat: Why is harm not caused to the enemies of Islam through other

means, apart from the armed struggle? For instance, inciting the Muslims to boycott Western products, banks, shipping lines, and TV channels.

Usamah: The first thing is that Western products could only be boycotted when the Muslim fraternity is fully awakened and organized. Secondly, the Muslim companies should become self-sufficient in producing goods equal to the products of Western companies. Economic boycott of the West is not possible unless economic self-sufficiency is attained and substitute products are brought out. You see that wealth is scattered all across the Muslim world but not a single TV channel has been acquired which can preach Islamic injunctions according to modern requirements and attain an international influence. Muslim traders and philanthropists should make it a point that if the weapon of public opinion is to be used, it is to be kept in the hand. Today's world is of public opinion and the fates of nations are determined through its pressure. Once the tools for building public opinion are obtained, everything that you asked for can be done.

**Ummat**: The entire propaganda about your struggle has so far been made by the Western media. But no information is being received from your sources about the network of Al-Qa'idah and its jihadi successes. Would you comment?

Usamah: In fact, the Western media is left with nothing else. It has no other theme to survive for a long time. Then we have many other things to do. The struggle for jihad and the successes are for the sake of Allah and not to annoy His bondsmen. Our silence is our real propaganda. Rejections, explanations, or corrigendum only waste your time and through them, the enemy wants you to engage in things which are not of use to you. These things are pulling you away from your cause. The Western media is unleashing such a baseless propaganda, which make us surprise but it reflects on what is in their hearts and gradually they themselves become captive of this propaganda. They become afraid of it and begin to cause harm to themselves. Terror is the most dreaded weapon in modern age and the Western media is mercilessly using it against its own people. It can add fear and helplessness in the psyche of the people of Europe and the United States. It means that what the enemies of the United States cannot do, its media is doing that. You can understand as to what will be the performance

of the nation in a war, which suffers from fear and helplessness.

**Ummat:** What will the impact of the freeze of al-Qa'idah accounts by the US.

Usamah: God opens up ways for those who work for Him. Freezing of accounts will not make any difference for Al-Qa'idah or other jihad groups. With the grace of Allah, al-Qa'idah has more than three such alternative financial system, which are all separate and totally independent from each other. This system is operating under the patronage of those who love jihad. What to say of the United States, even the combined world cannot budge these people from their path. These people are not in hundreds but in thousands and millions. Al-Qa'idah comprises of such modern educated youths who are aware of the cracks inside the Western financial system as they are aware of the lines in their hands. These are the very flaws of the Western fiscal system, which are becoming a noose for it and this system could not recuperate in spite of the passage of so many days.

**Ummat**: Are there other safe areas other than Afghanistan, where you can continue jihad?

**Usamah:** There are areas in all parts of the world where strong jihadi forces are present, from Indonesia to Algeria, from Kabul to Chechnya, from Bosnia to Sudan, and from Burma to Kashmir. Then it is not the problem of my person. I am helpless fellow man of God, constantly in the fear of my accountability before God. It is not the question of Usamah but of Islam and, in Islam too, of jihad. Thanks to God, those waging a jihad can walk today with their heads raised. Jihad was still present when there was no Usamah and it will remain as such even when Usamah is no longer there. Allah Ta'ala opens up ways and creates loves in the hearts of people for those who walk on the path of Allah with their lives, property, and children. Believe it, through jihad, a man gets everything he desires. And the biggest desire of a Muslim is the afterlife. Martyrdom is the shortest way of attaining an eternal life.

**Ummat**: What do you say about the Pakistan government policy on Afghanistan ttack?

**Usamah:** We are thankful to the Momin and valiant people of Pakistan who erected a blockade in front of the wrong forces and stood in the first

file of battle. Pakistan is a great hope for the Islamic brotherhood. Its people are awakened, organized, and rich in the spirit of faith. They backed Afghanistan in its war against the Soviet Union and extended every help to the mojahedin and the Afghan people. Then these are very Pakistanis who are standing shoulder by shoulder with the Taleban. If such people emerge in just two countries, the domination of the West will diminish in a matter of days. Our hearts beat with Pakistan and, God forbid, if a difficult time comes we will protect it with our blood. Pakistan is sacred for us like a place of worship. We are the people of jihad and fighting for the defence of Pakistan is the best of all jihads to us. It does not matter for us as to who rules Pakistan. The important thing is that the spirit of jihad is alive and stronger in the hearts of the Pakistani people. Source: Karachi Ummat in Urdu 28 Sep. 01 pp1, 7 Source: BBC Monitoring Service.

Portion of the edited text of speech by Mulla Muhammed Umar, 17th September:

"Further, we have told America that neither is the EIA nor is oblinvolved in the American episode [of 11th September]. But it is sad that America simply does not listen to our words."



## Paul Craig Roberts: 9/11 After 13 years

Dr. Paul Craig Roberts was Assistant Secretary of the Treasury for Economic Policy and associate editor of the Wall Street Journal. He was columnist for Business Week, Scripps Howard News Service, and Creators Syndicate. He has had many university appointments. His internet columns have attracted a worldwide following. Roberts' latest books are The Failure of Laissez Faire Capitalism and Economic Dissolution of the West and How America Was Lost.

September 11, 2014 "ICH" - -

The tragedy of September 11, 2001, goes far beyond the deaths of those who died in the towers and the deaths of firefighters and first responders

who succumbed to illnesses caused by inhalation of toxic dust. For thirteen years a new generation of Americans has been born into the 9/11 myth that has been used to create the American warfare/police state.

The corrupt Bush and Obama regimes used 9/11 to kill, maim, dispossess and displace millions of Muslims in seven countries, none of whom had anything whatsoever to do with 9/11.

A generation of Americans has been born into disdain and distrust of Muslims.

A generation of Americans has been born into a police state in which privacy and constitutional protections no longer exist.

A generation of Americans has been born into continuous warfare while needs of citizens go unmet.

A generation of Americans has been born into a society in which truth is replaced with the endless repetition of falsehoods.

According to the official story, on September 11, 2001, the vaunted National Security State of the World's Only Superpower was defeated by a few young Saudi Arabians armed only with box cutters. The American National Security State proved to be totally helpless and was dealt the greatest humiliation ever inflicted on any country claiming to be a power.

That day no aspect of the National Security State worked. Everything failed.

The US Air Force for the first time in its history could not get intercepter jet fighters into the air.

The National Security Council failed.

All sixteen US intelligence agencies failed as did those of America's NATO and Israeli allies.

Air Traffic Control failed.

Airport Security failed four times at the same moment on the same day. The probability of such a failure is zero.

If such a thing had actually happened, there would have been demands from the White House, from Congress, and from the media for an investigation. Officials would have been held accountable for their failures. Heads would have rolled.

Instead, the White House resisted for one year the 9/11 families' demands

for an investigation. Finally, a collection of politicians was assembled to listen to the government's account and to write it down. The chairman, vice chairman, and legal counsel of the 9/11 Commission have said that information was withheld from the commission, lies were told to the commission, and that the commission "was set up to fail." The worst security failure in history resulted in not a single firing. No one was held responsible. Washington concluded that 9/11 was possible because America lacked a police state.

The PATRIOT Act, which was awaiting the event was quickly passed by the congressional idiots. The Act established executive branch independence of law and the Constitution. The Act and follow-up measures have institutionalized a police state in "the land of the free."

Usama bin Laden, a CIA asset dying of renal failure, was blamed despite his explicit denial. For the next ten years Usama bin Laden was the bogyman that provided the excuse for Washington to kill countless numbers of Muslims. Then suddenly on May 2, 2011, Obama claimed that US Navy SEALs had killed bin Laden in Pakistan. Eyewitnesses on the scene contradicted the White House's story. Usama bin Laden became the only human in history to survive renal failure for ten years. There was no dialysis machine in what was said to be bin Laden's hideaway. The numerous obituaries of bin Laden's death in December 2001 went down the memory hole. And the SEAL team died a few weeks later in a mysterious helicopter crash in Afghanistan. The thousands of sailors on the aircraft carrier from which bin Laden was said to have been dumped into the Indian Ocean wrote home that no such burial took place.

The fairy tale story of bin Laden's murder by Seal Team Six served to end the challenge by disappointed Democrats to Obama's nomination for a second term. It also freed the "war on terror" from the bin Laden constraint. Washington wanted to attack Libya, Syria, and Iran, countries in which bin Laden was known not to have organizations, and the succession of faked bin Laden videos, in which bin Laden grew progressively younger as the fake bin Laden claimed credit for each successive attack, had lost credibility among experts.

Watching the Twin Towers and WTC 7 come down, it was obvious to me

that the buildings were not falling down as a result of structural damage. When it became clear that the White House had blocked an independent investigation of the only three steel skyscrapers in world history to collapse as a result of low temperature office fires, it was apparent that there was a cover-up.

After 13 years people at home and abroad find the government's story less believable.

The case made by independent experts is now so compelling that mainstream media has opened to it. Here is Richard Gage of Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth on C-SPAN: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v'3Zbv2SvBEec#t'23

After years of persistence a group in New York has secured the necessary number of valid signatures to put on the ballot a vote to investigate the cause of the collapse of the three WTC buildings. The official account, if correct, means that existing fire and building codes are insufficient to protect the public and that all other steel high rise structures are subject to the same failure. The group has been clever to frame the issue in terms of public safety and not in terms of 9/11 truth.

New York authorities, of course, continue to oppose the initiative. The question now rests on a judge's ruling. It is difficult to imagine a judge going against the government in such a major way, but the group will have made the point that the government has no confidence in the truth of its own story.

Over these 13 years, physicists, chemists, architects, engineers, and first responders have provided massive evidence that completely disproves the official account of the failure of the three skyscrapers. The response to experts has been for non-expert to call experts "conspiracy theorists." In other words, the defenders of the government's story have no scientific or factual basis on which to stand. So they substitute name-calling.

9/11 was used to fundamentally alter the nature of the US government and its relationship to the American people. Unaccountable executive power has replaced due process and the checks and balances established by the US Constitution. In the name of National Security, executive power knows no restraints. Essentially, Americans today have no rights if the government

targets them.

Those Americans born after 9/11 were born into a different country from the rest of us. Having never experienced constitutional government, they will not know what they have lost.

The anthrax attacks of October 2001 have been forgotten, but Professor Graeme MacQueen in The 2001 Anthrax Deception (Clarity Press, 2014) shows that the anthrax attacks played an essential role in setting the stage for the government's acquisition of unaccountable police state power. Two Democratic Senate committee chairmen, Thomas Daschle and Patrick Leahy, were disturbed by the Bush regime's overreach for carte blanche power, and were in a position to block the coming police state legislation and the ability of the executive branch alone to take America to war.

Both senators received anthrax letters, as did major news organizations. The TV network news anchors, such as Dan Rather, who compared the collapse of WTC skyscrapers to buildings brought down by controlled demolition, had not yet been fired by Republicans on framed-up charges.

Initially, the anthrax letters, which caused the deaths of some USPS employees, were seen as the second stage of the 9/11 attack. Fear multiplied. The senators and media shut up. Then it was discovered that the anthrax was a unique kind produced only by a US government military facility.

The response to this monkey wrench thrown into the government's propaganda, was the FBI's frame-up of a dead man, Bruce Edwards Ivins, who had been employed in the military lab that produced the anthrax and was driven to suicide by the false charges. The dead man's colleagues did not believe one word of the government's false story, and nothing in the dead man's past indicated any motive or instability that would have led him to such a deed.

Initially, the US government tried to frame up Steven Jay Hatfill, but despite the best efforts of the New York Times and Nicholas Kristof the attempt to frame Hatfill failed. Hatfill received \$5 million from the US government for the false accusation that ruined his life. So the corrupt US government moved on to Ivins.

Ivins was dead and couldn't defend himself, but his colleagues did. The entire episode stinks to high heaven. Justice is something that exists outside the borders of the United States. Never expect to find justice within the United States.

Most Americans are unaware of the extent to which the federal government owns the experts who can contradict its fairy tales. For example, no competent physicist can possibly believe the official story of the destruction of the three WTC buildings. But physics departments in US universities are heavily dependent on federal money. Any physicist who speaks his mind jeopardizes not only his own career but also the career of all of his colleagues. Physicist Steven Jones, who first pointed to the use of thermite in the destruction of the two towers had to agree to having his university buy out his tenure or his university was faced with losing all federal financing.

The same constraints operate in the private sector. High rise architects and structural engineers who express doubts about the official explanation of the collapse of three skyscrapers are viewed by potential clients as Muslim apologists and conspiracy kooks. The clients, of course, have no expert knowledge with which to assess the issue, but they are indoctrinated with ceaseless, endless, repetition that 9/11 was Usama bin Laden's attack on America. Their indoctrination makes them immune to facts.

The 9/11 lie has persisted for 13 years. Millions of Muslims have paid for this lie with their lives, the destruction of their families, and with their dislocation. Most Americans remain comfortable with the fact that their government has destroyed in whole or part seven countries based on a lie Washington told to cover up an inside job that launched the crazed neoconservatives' drive for Washington's World Empire.

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article39633.htm



### RECOMMENDED INVESTIGATIVE WEBSITES

Global Research:

<a href="http://www.globalresearch.ca">http://www.globalresearch.ca</a>

A leading source of analysis and intel reportage on operations of the NWO. Architects and Engineers for 911 Truth:

<a href="http://www.ae911truth.org">http://www.ae911truth.org</a>

A massive group of over 2000 architects and engineers who disagree totally with the NIST Report.

Pilots for 9/11 Truth:

<a href="http://www.pilotsfor911truth.org">http://www.pilotsfor911truth.org</a>

Investigations by pilots and aviation experts on the aircraft used on 9/11.

Scientific Panel Investigating Nine-eleven (SPINE):

<a href="http://www.physics911.net">http://www.physics911.net</a>

Featuring forensic evidence that contradicts the 9/11 official narrative.

Veterans Today:

<a href="http://www.veteranstoday.com">http://www.veteranstoday.com</a>

Featuring best news and analysis available on the web.

Journalists and Other Media Professionals for 9/11 Truth:

<a href="http://mediafor911truth.org">http://mediafor911truth.org</a>

An organization of media professionals devoted to uncovering and communicating the truth about 9/11.

The TruthSeeker:

<a href="http://www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/">http://www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/</a>

Floating headlines allow a rapid review of current contents. This site has only emerged recently, but it's already a leading source of information.

911 Grassroots:

<a href="http://www.911grassroots.org">http://www.911grassroots.org</a>

Serial essays on the state of the world, predatory capitalism, the New World Order,

False Flag Terrorism, and mobilization of the citizenry.

Lawyers' Committee for 9/11 Inquiry:

<a href="https://lawyerscommitteefor9-11inquiry.org">https://lawyerscommitteefor9-11inquiry.org</a>

"The Lawyers' Committee for 9/11 Inquiry, Inc. is a nonprofit 501

Organization of lawyers, investigators, scientists and concerned citizens created to promote transparency and accountability regarding the tragic events of September 11, 2001."

Photo references: Reference for these series of photographs is: www.senderberl.com/china/china2.htm, http://members.aol.com/senderberl/private/wtc.htm. Further analyses were posted

at http://members.aol.com/senderberl/private/internal.htm and http://www.senderberl.com/internal2.htm)

http://11september.20m.com

http://11september.bosra.com

http://11september.2itb.com

http://11september.wakaf.net

http://11september.netfirms.com

Russian MP claims US carried out September 11 attacks: http://www.khilafah.com/1421/category.php?DocumentID'2398&TagID'2

Missing Pieces To The Amazing 911 Mystery Puzzle By Michael Shore A View From Jerusalem, Israel 1-1-2

http://www.joelskousen.com. 3 January 2002.

GUILTY FOR 9 11: BUSH, RUMSFELD, MYERS

http://emperors-clothes.com/indict/indict

http://www.cnn.com/SPECIALS/2001/trade.center/victims/AA11. victims.html

http://www.cnn.com/SPECIALS/2001/trade.center/victims/AA77. victims.html

http://www.cnn.com/SPECIALS/2001/trade.center/victims/ua175.victims.html

http://www.cnn.com/SPECIALS/2001/trade.center/victims/ua93. victims.html

http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A37629

http://www.itn.co.uk/news/20010424/world/05robotplane.shtm(ITN Entertainment April 24, 2001) A The Secret War-Pre-9/11.

We Fought A Covert War Against Israel On American Soil: http://www.antiwar.com

http://www.rense.com/general15/tr.htm

Americans Target Of Largest Media Brainwashing Campaign In History, By Lonnie Wolfe. www.larouchepub.com

FBI Closes in on Anthrax Terrorist: Prime Suspect is a Zionist by Hector Carreon La Voz de Aztlan

An Overview of The War on Terrorism

www.nexusmagazine.com

http://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/0,,7-2002010026,00.html 9/11 Was Staged to Defame Muslims by John Kaminski. skylax"comcast.net http://www.twf.org/News/Y2004/0911-Rubicon.htm

FBI says, it has "No hard evidence connecting Bin Laden to 9/11 By Ed Haas http://teamliberty.net

# These were some articles that shed more light on 9/11. They may not be accessable at this stage.

Who was behind the WTC terror attack on 11th September 2001???.....You decide!!

Where are the Flight Recorders (Black Boxes)? They can find passports (made of paper) in the wreckage but not Flight Recorders (Indestructible).

THIS WAR IS A FRAUD!!! says UK's 2nd LARGEST NEWSPAPER.

Trade Centre death toll 'lower'.

Usama bin Laden says "I didn't do it!".

There were NO HIJACKERS on those Planes.

Exposing the WTC bomb plot.

Are Americans Victims of a Hoax? Missing: crucial facts from the official charge sheet against Bin Laden.

Mystery of terror Shares 'insider dealers'.

More Lies from the FBI – Six Men Identified by FBI as Dead Hijackers are ALIVE.

FBI Presents MORE LAUGHABLE Evidence – Independent Newspaper UK.

How Stupid is This?

US warned Afghans 3 months ago.

Curious Share Dealing 10th September.

5 Israelis Detained.

4000 Jews did not go to work.

Is Israel to Blame?

Satan in amongst the Ruins?

CNN's Lies.

FBI Lies.

Pictures of the Attack.

News Embargo after Israeli link leak.

Letter about WTC from Saddam Hussain.

Secrets About Terrorism.

Who are REAL Terrorists?

Who has the most to gain from WTC Attack?

Sharon was told not to fly to NY just before tragedy.

Ehud Barak was on BBC TV minutes after the attack.

Israeli PM threatened to 'BURN WASHINGTON'.

"They (the government) have lied to us in the past. Why should we believe them?"

Why Do They Hate Us?

White House Fails to Provide Proof of bin Laden's Guilt.

Why Bush NEEDS Bin Laden, an article from The Guardian, UK.

Who warned Israel 2 hours before the attack?

White House Lied About Threat To Air Force One.

US 'had planned to endorse notion of Palestinian statehood' Could this be the MOTIVE for the attack on the WTC?

Who runs our media?

Who rules America?

Who Benefits from these attacks...?

Who Runs our Government?

Game Over - An Article by Naomi Klein.

Why Bush Wants a War.

An Interview with the Afghan (Taleban) Leader.

Nation By Nation - Death Toll.

Many American Journalists are Israeli Citizens.

Letter to George Bush from David Duke, National President European-American Unity and Rights Organization (EURO).

Dancing in the Streets.

